No. 1/6/2010-1HG IV.—Whereas, in the light of observations in CWP No. 832 of 2010-ILC. Arora Vs. State of Haryana and Others that the policy may be broad based and in view of the concern shown in the petitions pending in the Punjab and Haryana High Court for ensuring protection to witnesses in serious cases, the Governor of Haryana hereby framed the following policy in order to further amend the Policy For Providing Security To Whistle Blowers And Right To Information Activists:—

1. In Definitions clause of the Policy For Providing Security To Whistle Blowers And Right To Information Activists (hereinafter referred to as Policy), after sub clause (b) the following sub clauses shall be inserted, namely:

(c) "District Level Committee” means a Committee consisting of District Magistrate as Chairman and District Superintendent of Police and District Attorney as Member in the concerned district.

(d) "State Level Committee” means a Committee consisting of Principal Secretary, Home as Chairman with Advocate General, Haryana and Director General of Police, Haryana as Member in the State.”

2. For clause 1 of the Policy, the following clause shall be substituted, namely:

“A Whistle Blower/Right to Information Activists/Complainant or Witness in serious case who has threat perception of danger to his life or liberty may submit an application to the District Level Committee through the concerned Commissioner of Police or District Superintendent of Police. On receipt of such application, the District Level Committee shall make an inquiry of the threat perception and shall also call a threat analysis from the concerned District Inspector of Police. Criminal Investigation Department and such other authorities/office as is considered necessary. Keeping in view the intensity of or assessing the threat perception depending upon the facts and circumstances of each individual case, security shall be provided to the Whistle Blower/Right to Information Activists/Complainant or Witness in serious case. The quantum and type of security would be decided by the District Superintendent of Police. This process shall be completed as expeditiously as possible but should not more than one week.”

3. In clause 2 of the Policy:

(i) for the words and sign “concerned Commissioner of Police/District Superintendent of Police”, the words “District Level Committee” shall be substituted.

(ii) for the words “Chief of State Intelligence or Director General of Police”. the words “State Level Committee” shall be substituted.

4. In clause 3 of the Policy, for the words and sign "Whistle Blower/Right to Information Activists", the words and sign “Whistle Blower/Right to Information Activists/Complainant or Witness in serious case” shall be substituted.

5. In clause 4 of the Policy:

(i) for the words “concerned Commissioner of Police or District Superintendent of Police”, the words “District Level Committee” shall be substituted.

(ii) for the words “Whistle Blower and Right to Information Activists”, the words and sign “Whistle Blower/Right to Information Activists/Complainant or Witness in serious case” shall be substituted.

(iii) for the words “Chief of State Intelligence or Director General of Police”, the words “State Level Committee” shall be substituted.

6. In clause 6 of the Policy:

(i) for the words “Whistle Blower and Right to Information Activists”, the words and sign “Whistle Blower/Right to Information Activists/Complainant or Witness in serious case” shall be substituted.

(ii) for the words “Chief of State Intelligence or Director General of Police”, the words “State Level Committee” shall be substituted.
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