

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO - 2

Name of the State - BIHAR.

District - BHAGALPUR

Election - BY NATHNAGAR
ASSEMBLY

| DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961 | | |
|---|--|--|
| S.No. | Description | To be filled up by the DEO |
| 1. | Name & address of the candidate | Dolly Kumari, ADD :- Near by T.M.B.U Companybag Jagdishpur |
| 2. | Political Party affiliation, if any | Vanchit Samaj Party |
| 3. | No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency | 158 - Nathnagar |
| 4. | Name of the elected candidate | Laxmikant Mandal |
| 5. | Date of declaration of result | 24.10.19 |
| 6. | Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting | 18.11.19 |
| 7. | (i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing | YES |
| | (ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting | YES |
| 8. | Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19) | YES |
| 9. | Last date prescribed for lodging Account | 20.11.19 |
| 10. | Whether the candidate has lodged the account | YES |
| 11. | If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: | |
| | (i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting | 18.11.19 19.11.19 |
| 12. | Whether account lodged in time | YES |
| 12 A. | If not lodged in time, period of delay | NA |
| 13. | If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof. | NA |
| 14. | Explanation, if any, given by the candidate | NA |
| 14A | Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate | NA |
| 15. | Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement | 284454-00 |
| 16. | Whether in the DEO's opinion. The account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961 | YES |
| 17. | If No, then please mention the following defects with details | NA |

| | | | | | | |
|---|--|------|---|--|--|-------------------------------------|
| 17. | (i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged | | | | YES | |
| | (ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate | | | | YES | |
| | (iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted | | | | YES | |
| | (iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election | | | | YES | |
| | (v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account | | | | YES | |
| 18. | (i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect | | | | NO | |
| | (ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect | | | | N.A. | |
| | (iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not. | | | | N.A. | |
| 19. | Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following: | | | | YES | |
| | Items of expenditure | Date | Page No. of Shadow Observation Register | Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence | Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate | Amount understated by the candidate |
| i | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| TOTAL | | | | | | |
| 20. | Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period | | | | YES | |
| 21. | If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:- | | | | | |
| | (i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting | | | | N.A. | |
| | (ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice | | | | N.A. | |
| | (iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice? | | | | N.A. | |
| | (iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply | | | | N.A. | |
| | (v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation | | | | N.A. | |
| 22. | Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO) Date: 20.11.19 | | | | YES | |
| 23. Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*- Date: 20.11.19 | | | | | | |

Signature of the District Election Officer
District Election Officer
District Magistrate, Bhuj

Signature of the Expenditure Observer

Nodal Officer
Candidate Expenditure Cell - 158 - Nathnagar