Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO - 11

Name of the State - BIHAR.

District - BHAGALPUR

Election - BY NATHNAGAR ASSEMBLY

S.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO	
I	Name & address of the candidate	Sudhir Sharma, ADD :- Sahibganj, Chanpanagar Bhagalpur.	
1.	Political Party affiliation, if any	Community party of india	
2.	No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	158 - Nathnagar	
3.	Name of the elected candidate	Laxmikant Mandal	
4.	Date of declaration of result	24.10.19	
5.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	18.11.19	
6.	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	YES	
7.	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	YES	
**	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	YES	
8.	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	18.11.19	
9.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	YES	
10.	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	18.11.19	
11.	Whether account lodged in time	YES	
12.	If not lodged in time, period of delay	NA	
12 A.	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	NA	
13.	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	NA	
14.	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	NA	
14A 15.	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	254995=00	
	Whether in the DEO's opinion. The account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961	YES	
16. 17.	If No, then please mention the following defects with details	NA	

				1 Deciator				
	(i) Whether Election Ex Cash Register, Bank Re	penditure Regie egister, Abstract	YES					
	(ii) Whether duly swo	YES						
7.	(iii) Whether requisite	YES						
	(iv) Whether separate I	YES						
	(v) Whether all exp	YES						
and the same of the same of the same of	(i) Whether the DEO h	NO						
	(ii) Whether the candid (iii) Comments of the not.	late rectified the DEO on the	N.A. N.A.					
18.	Whether the items of election expenses-reported by the candidate correspond with the							
19.	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the Canadate correspondence. expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:				YES			
	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Registe	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate		
i	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA		
)TAL								
20.	Did the candidate pro inspection by the Obs	oduce his Regist erver/RO/Auth	YES					
21.	If DEO does not agre							
	give the following det (i) Were the defects in	N.A.						
	campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting (ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice				N.A.			
	(iii) Did the candidat	N.A.						
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply				N.A.			
	(v) DEO's commer	N.A.						
22.	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO) Date: 20.11.19					YES O		
	Date, 20, (1, (7)	Vistrict Election District Magi	of unificant					
23, Con	l nments, if any, by the E:	xpenditure Obso		811 N 301	1115	whaga		
Date:	20.11.19		Signa	ture of the Expenditu	re Observer			

Candidate Expenditur Controls - Nathnagar