

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO - 04**Name of the State: Jharkhand****District: Bokaro****Election: Parliamentary Election 2019**

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961		
S. No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1	Name & address of the candidate	Amit Jani, 1331, Shiv Shakti Nagar, Meerut, Uttar Pradesh
2	Political Party affiliation, if any	Uttar Pradesh Navnirman Sena
3	No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	06 Giridih
4	Name of the elected candidate	Chandra Prakash Choudhary
5	Date of declaration of result	23-05-2019
6	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	18-06-2019
7	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	(i) Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	(ii) Yes
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes - No defect found
9	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	21-06-2019
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	18-06-2019 Not Applicable
12	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12A	If not lodged in time, period of delay days. Not Applicable
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	Not Applicable
14	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	Not Applicable
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	Not Applicable
15	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	Rs. 1,68,780.00
16	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961	Yes

17	If No, then please mention the following defects with details				Not Applicable	
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged				Yes/No	
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate				Yes/No	
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted				Yes/No	
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election				Yes/No	
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account				Yes/No	
18	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect				Not Applicable	
	(ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect				Not Applicable	
	(iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.					
19	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:				Yes	
	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
	i.					
	ii.					
	iii					
TOTAL						
20	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period				Yes	
21	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-				Not Applicable	
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting				Not Applicable	
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of				Not Applicable	

	notice	
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?	Not Applicable
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	Not Applicable
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	Not Applicable
22	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO) Date:	Yes Signature (Name of the DEO) Kripanand Jha

23. Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*-

Nil - *[Signature]*
24/6/19

Nil - *[Signature]*
24/6/19

Date : 24/6/19

Signature of the Expenditure Observer

* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

** The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.