

SCRUTINY REPORT OF DEO

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO-04

Name of the State Karnataka, District Belagavi, Parliamentary Election 2019.

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961		
S.No.	escription	To be filled up by the DEO
1	Name & address of the candidate	Shri. Appasaheb Sripati Kurane
2	Political Party affiliation, if any	Bharip Bahujan Mahasang
3	No. and name of Parliamentary Constituency	01ChikodiPC
4	Name of the elected candidate	Shri. Annasaheb Shankar Jolle
5	Date of declaration of result	23.05.2019
6	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	18.06.2019
7	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes
9	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	22.06.2019
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (i) original account	18.06.2019
	(ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	
12	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12 A	If not lodged in time, period of delay	NA
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	NA
14	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	NA
14 A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	NA
15	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	110934
16	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961	Yes
17	If No, then please mention the following defects with details	
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged	NA
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate	NA
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted	NA
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election	NA
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account	NA

18	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect	NA														
	(ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect	NA														
	(iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.	NA														
19	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:	Yes														
	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Items of expenditure</th> <th>Date</th> <th>Page No. of Shadow Observation Register</th> <th>Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence</th> <th>Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate</th> <th>Amount understated by the candidate</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>i</td> <td colspan="5" rowspan="3">NA</td> </tr> <tr> <td>ii</td> </tr> <tr> <td>TOTAL</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate	i	NA					ii	TOTAL	
Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate											
i	NA															
ii																
TOTAL																
20	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period	Yes														
	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:	NA														
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting	NA														
21	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice	NA														
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice ?	NA														
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	NA														
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	NA														
22	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO) Date : 18/06/2019	Yes														
<p>S B Bommanahalli DEO AND DC Belagavi Dist</p> <p>P. V. Praveen 18/6/19</p> <p>ON. APD 18.6.19</p>																
23. Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer* Date: 18/6/2019 Signature of the Expenditure Observer																
* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.																
** The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately																