REVENUE DEPARTMENT

From
Sri V. Devendra Reddy, M.A.,
Land Acquisition Officer &
Revenue Divisional Officer,
KADAPA.

To
The District Informatics Officer,
National Informatics Office,
Collectorate, Kadapa.


Sir,

Sub:- Land Acquisition - Y.S.R. District - Kadapa Division - Pendlimarri Mandal - Pendlimarri, Chinnadasaripalli, Cheemalapenta, Gondipalli and Nandimandalam villages - Requisition received for acquisition of lands proposed for Construction of Kadapa to Bangalore New Broad-gauge Railway Line Project between Pendlimarri and Virannaguttapalli Railway Stations from Km. 22.00 to Km. 40.00 - Expert Committee report received - Uploading - Requested - Reg.


I invite kind attention to the reference cited, wherein the District Collector, Y.S.R. District, Kadapa has forwarded the report of the Expert Committee on the SIA report evaluated by RISES, Hyderabad pertaining to lands under acquisition in Pendlimarri, Chinnadasaripalli, Cheemalapenta, Gondipalli and Nandimandalam villages proposed for Construction of Kadapa to Bangalore New Broad-gauge Railway Line Project between Pendlimarri and Virannaguttapalli Railway Stations from Km. 22.00 to Km. 40.00 and requested to take further necessary action in the matter.

In this connection, I request that the report of the Expert Committee received through District Collector, Y.S.R. District, Kadapa in the reference 1st cited may be uploaded in http://kadapa.nic.in as per the provisions of “The Right to Fair Compensation and Transparency in Land Acquisition, Rehabilitation and Resettlement Act, 2013” for the convenience to the public / interested persons.


Yours faithfully,

Sd/- V. Devendra Reddy,
Land Acquisition Officer &
Revenue Divisional Officer,
KADAPA.

//t.c.b.o//

Divn. Administrative Officer

Copy submitted to the District Collector, Y.S.R. District, Kadapa for favour of information.
I invite attention to reference 13th cited, the Superintendent Engineer, SKD GNSS Circle (the Chairperson of the Expert Group) has submitted the report on evaluation of Social Impact Assessment report with the provisions of the Act in Section 7, stating that meetings were conducted on 26.09.17 & 12.10.17 and visited the site on 04.10.17 to Pendlimarri, Cheemalapenta, Gondipalli, Nandimandalam & other villages. After conducting detailed study on SIA report and discussions made with the Project affected Families and environmental impacts, the expert group is of the opinion that project certainly deserves public purpose and the potential benefit outweigh the social costs and adverse social impacts. The evaluation report of expert group is enclosed herewith.

I, therefore request you to go through the evaluation report of the expert group and submit PN proposals as per rules for taking further action.

Yours faithfully,

M. Babulah

For Collector,

Superintendent
GOVERNMENT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
WATER RESOURCES DEPARTMENT

From
Smt. U. Yastika, M.Tech.,
Superintending Engineer,
SKQ.GNSS Circle,
KADAPA

To
The District Collector,
Y.S.R. District,
KADAPA.


Sub:- Proposed construction of Kadapa to Bangalore New B.G. Railway line Project (between Pendlimarri and Virannagattupalli railway Stations from Km. 22.00 to Km. 40.00) – SIA report completed – Expert committee constituted – Evaluation of SIA report with the provisions of the ACT in Section 7 – Submitted – Reg.


In obedience to the orders issued in the reference cited, it is to submit that the expert group constituted for evaluation of SIA report on New B.G Railway line Project (between Pendlimarri and Virannagattupalli railway Stations from Km. 22.00 to Km. 40.00) convened meetings on 26.9.17 and 12.10.17 and have also conducted site visit on 04.10.17. to Pendlimarri, Cheemalapenta, Godipalli and Nandimandalam Villages. The team members have detailed study on SIA report submitted by rural integrated and solid education society (RISES), Hyderabad consulted and discussed with the Project affected families and also observed the environmental impacts.

It is submitted that the expert group is of the opinion that project certainly deserves public purpose and the potential benefit outweigh the social costs and adverse social impacts.

The report duly evaluating SIA report is prepared as per section 7 (5) of the APLARR Act 2013 and submitted duly making specific recommendations.

This is submitted for information and taking further necessary action.

Encl:- Evaluation Report in original and copy

Yours faithfully,
Superintending Engineer,
SKQ.GNSS Circle,
Water Resources Department, Kadapa.
Expert Committee meeting on proposed railway line between Pendlimarri and Vizianagaram pally held on 12.10.17. 11:00 AM in the Chamber of the Superintending Engineer (Designs & M. Kadapa

Members Present

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SNO</th>
<th>Name and Designation</th>
<th>Signature</th>
<th>Cell NO.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Dr. G. Sreenivasa Reddy</td>
<td></td>
<td>9849927981</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(Professor of Civil Eng)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Dr. M. Sugunath Reddy</td>
<td></td>
<td>996896551</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(Professor of Humanities)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>V. Sivarama</td>
<td></td>
<td>970137216</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Asset, Executive Engineer</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>K. Ramaiah Reddy</td>
<td></td>
<td>94409796</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(PENDLIMARRI)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>M. Ramaiah</td>
<td></td>
<td>944060831</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(Cherlopalu GP)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Sarpang</td>
<td></td>
<td>9963172</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(Cherlopalu G.P.) Pendlimarri</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(M), Y.S.R.(D)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>M. Ramaiah</td>
<td></td>
<td>944060831</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(Cherlopalu GP)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>N. Ravi Kiran</td>
<td></td>
<td>9440903334</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(Executive Engineer)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>M. Ramaiah</td>
<td></td>
<td>9440973251</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(Cherlopalu GP)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>N. Ramaiah</td>
<td></td>
<td>9440903334</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(Executive Engineer)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>M. Ramaiah</td>
<td></td>
<td>94408573</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(Cherlopalu GP)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
ANNEXURE - I
APPENDIX - II
APPLICATION FOR CLAIMING REFUND OF MEDICAL EXPENSES IN
CONNECTION WITH MEDICAL TREATMENT OF PENSIONERS AND THEIR
FAMILIES

1. (a) Name of the Pensioner
   (b) Post held at the time of retirement

2. Department and Office in which he
   Worked at the time of retirement

3. Time Scale of pay of the post held and
   the pay drawn at the time of retirement

4. Place where settled after retirement

5. Full residential address with Door No.
   And Name of the village, Mandal, District

6. Name of the patient, His/hers relationship
   With the pensioner

7. Place at which the patient fell ill

8. Nature of Illness and its duration

9. Details of amounts claimed towards cost
   of Medicines purchases from market.
   List of medicines, cash memos to be
   enclosed in duplicate duly signed by the
   Doctor who has given the treatment

10. Total amount claimed

11. List of enclosures

DECLARATION TO BE SIGNED BY THE PENSIONERS

I hereby declare that the statements in this application are true to the best of my
knowledge, information and belief and that the person for who medical were incurred is a
member of my family as defined under Government Servant Medical Attendance Rules and
wholly Dependent upon me/self.

Place: Kadapa

Signature of the pensioner
The committee gone through SIA study report, the proceeding of the public hearing, the details of social economic study conducted, the EIA study reports, Railway map in addition to making a visit to the villages Pendilimarri, Chimalapenta, Gondipalli and Nandimandalam to study in respect of the following issues.

- Whether the project serves any public purpose.
- Whether the potential benefits outweigh the social costs and adverse social impacts
- Whether the extent of land proposed to be acquired is the absolute bare minimum extent needed for the project.
- Whether there are other less displacing options available.

Accordingly a meeting is convened with the expert group members on 26.9.17 & Site visit is made to Pendlimarri, Cheemalapenta, Gondipalli and Nandimandalam villages on 4.10.17 to observe the ground realities on alignment of B.G line between Pendlimarri and Veerannagutta Palli and interaction with the PAF's and PDF's. The following is evaluated from the observations of the final SIA and SIMP reports conducted by the Rural integrated and social education society Hyderabad.

**Brief Description of the Project,**

The south Central Railway, Tirupathi division proposed to form New Broad Gauge Railway line between Kadapa to Bangalore City covering 255.54 Kms length. In this context it is proposed to be acquired Ac.272.53 cents of Pendlimarri, Chinnadasaripalli, Cheemalapenta, Gondipalli, and Nandimandalam villages of Pendlimarri Mandal, Kadapa Division, Alireddipalli village of Vempalli Mandal, Jammalamadugu division of Kadapa District, for construction of new BG Railway line between Pendlimarri and Virannagutta Palli railway stations from 22.00 Km. to 40.00 Km under Phase.II.

**Public Purpose**

The railways proposed this project keeping the Kadapa is said to be the heart of Rayalaseema. It is centrally located and well connected with the 4 districts of Rayalaseema Region of Andhra Pradesh. It cover the requirement of a common
commuter in view of there is a demand from the towns, villagers to provide the new rail transport facility to the Bangalore city of Karnataka. The project will entail a multitude of benefits for the people of Kadapa district, Chittoor district, and the people of the Karnataka.

Potential Benefits.

- Provision for new alignment of railway lines in the southern region,
- Providing more and easy access to the Kadapa- Bangalore with the major towns
- Provision for alternative transport facilities between Kadapa and Bangalore
- Saving of travelling time
- Providing new facility to the residents of this area to work place, schools, hospitals and market.
- Scope for traffic diversions in times of necessity.
- Alternative way of transport.
- Employment opportunities during the construction, operation and maintenance period of project.
- Decrease in pollution level, enhancement of quality of environment.
- Agriculture too will benefit by being able to quickly transport their produce without delay.

Adverse social Impacts

- Loss of asset (residential buildings) / Agriculture Lands to people
- Loss of trees and bore wells
- Loss of Livelihood
- Displacement of people from their home, livelihood base.
- Difficulty in road crossing /in the approaches to their field areas
- Accident due to increased speed
- Noise/Air Pollution
Social costs

Social Impact Assessment has been carried out in accordance with the provision of section 4 of the RECTLARR Act 2013 and APRFCT LARR Rules 2014. The scope of socio-economic study is to include the impacts due to the proposed project. Based on the site survey, it will generate socio-economic data about project affected families and prepare an inventory of property. Based on the data, the project proponent shall develop measures to safeguard the PAFs from the loss occurred due to the proposed project with an objective of sustainable development.

Findings of Analysis of the data.

Land acquisition and Resettlement.

- Total Ac.272.53 of land is proposed to be acquired from Pendlimarri, Chinnadasaripalli, Cheemalapenta, Gondipalli, and Nandimandalam villages of Pendlimarri mandal, Kadapa division, Alireddipalli village of Vempalli mandal, Jammalamadugu division of Kadapa district.
- In this Ac 269.97 is agriculture land in which majority of the land is owned by private owners and in which Ac. 16.01 DKT land , Ac. 23.79 Ac Government land.
- Ac 2.56 homestead area, 11 residential structures are affecting. In this 11 PAFs 2 are partially affected families (PAFs), and 09 are project displaced families (PDFs)
- The land proposed for acquisition is under cultivation of Paddy, Cotton, Jowar, Groundnut, Sunflower. The main horticulture crops like Citrus and Mango are affecting.

Compensation shall be paid accordingly, for relocation of residential buildings, agriculture land, agriculture bore wells, open land likely to be affected due to the proposed project.

Impact on People

- 555 Agriculture families are affected under this project. 165 persons are losing 15 Cents and above of Agriculture lands. Therefore, the project will not affect livelihood adversely.
• Majority of the stakeholders in this study is from the age limit between 41-50 years contribute 36.40%, between 31-40 years contribute to 27.56% percent, 22.52% stakeholders are from the age limit of 21-30.

• Marital status of the PAP's is 73 % are married, while 23% are unmarried and 4% are widow.

• Among the PAFs, the analysis on education status of the PAF's shows that 28.64% percent were illiterate, 25.58% percent were studied up to primary and 17.11% percent studied up to 10th Standard, 8.64% percent studies up to intermediate level, 7.02% percent up to Graduation and 5.76% percent studied up to Post Graduate level.

• The analysis of Occupational categories indicates that 41.80% percent work in Agriculture, 21.80% percent were in the private service and those who are in the casual labor, government service, petty business, housewife constituted less than 10% percent.

• It was observed from the socio economic survey PAFs that majority is from backward caste communities, constituting 54.41% percent of the total households, Forward caste member constituting nearly 41.44% percent and Schedule Caste constitutes 4.14% percent.

• Religious division indicates that the total 555 PAFs, 86.49% percent belong to Hindu religion. Muslim and Christian constitute less than 10% percent.

• Out of 555 families, 98 % are losing agriculture lands and 2 % in homestead structures.

Perception and attitude of the PAFs

• 71% of the affected families consider that project will have negative impact in terms of loss of assets and lands to people and 21 % stated that they have difficulty in road crossings.

• It is revealed that a majority of the PAFs (78.38%) opted for Self-Managed – Cash assistance.

Benefit Cost Ratio

Social costs are calculated by comparing project benefits and negative impacts, from construction of proposed project in 6 villages. Total cost of construction of this
proposed project is **approx. Rs.125.00 Crores**, which includes cost of land and construction cost for the length of 18 Kms. However, this cost will provide new railway line between Kadapa to Bangalore city, which is welcomed by all the villagers and other public. Whereas the land acquisition and R&R cost about **Rs.49.00 Crores** at this section.

Demands of the PAFs during site visit of Expert Group.

1. Majority of PAFs requested that bit of land leftover also needs to be taken by the Government and compensation also need to be given including these bits of lands which is not useful or accessible for cultivation.
2. The PAFs requested for the new road facility for left over Agriculture lands (Service road).
3. Majority PAFs are demanding one time settlement and onetime payment.
4. Majority PAFs demanded for pre acquisition i.e., starting the work after full compensation is paid.
5. Few PAFs are interested in land to land settlement.
6. PAF of Chinna Dasari Palli, Under the survey no.155 informed that there is a mistake in Revenue records that the land is not on the owners name but belongs to somebody else. PAFs are demanding to address such problems before notification
7. The Agriculture land affected persons informed that they should get the reasonable cost according to the private market value per Acre of agriculture land which is 10-15 lakhs,
8. Majority of the affected farmers informed that he is losing agriculture land, and bore well. This is the only bore well where water is available at 1300 feet's, hence Government should enhance the amount to be paid to the bore wells,
9. Youth who are educated and also affected by loss of livelihood may be given job in railway department.
10. Majority of the farmers are losing income from mango and lime trees because of laying of railway line, Hence, they are demanding for suitable compensation as their livelihood is affected.
11. PAFs belonging to loss of homesteads demanding higher rate for their lands as their land is nearer to house sites of village and also requesting rehabilitation adjacent to the village houses.

12. PAFs demanded provision for water pipe line connections across the track.

Evaluation and opinion of the expert group on of SIA and SIMP reports (As per section 7 of the LARR Act 2013).

- The project of new B.G line between Kadapa to Bengaluru will certainly deserves public purpose. The development of proposed project has significant positive impacts as evaluated under potential benefits in the above report.
- The potential benefits outweigh the social costs and adverse social impacts.
- Efforts have been made by the railway department to keep land requirement to the barest minimum by so choosing the alignment that the acquisition of private property is minimal and stations are nearer to the villages.
- The project will not affect lively hood adversely as the evaluation under social profile of the PAP's as evaluated above.
- The problems due to adverse social affects can be mitigated by properly addressing during land acquisition, Rehabilitation and resettlement.
- The villagers fear that with the inflow of compensation money, the usage of intoxicants and drugs will increase, especially among the youngsters. Some preemptive measures like social counseling and some reformatory measures like de-addiction should be taken up.

(Dr. G. Sreenivasa Reddy)

(K. Sivaram)

(L. Rama Krishna)

Pendlimarri

M. Bommar

(marked)}
Expert Committee meeting on proposed railway line between Pendlimarri and Virumagatta palli held on 12.10.17. 11:00 AM in the chambers of the Superintending Engineer (Design) and R.Kadapa.

Members Present

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SNO</th>
<th>Name and Designation</th>
<th>Signature</th>
<th>Cell NO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Dr. G. Sreenivasa Reddy</td>
<td></td>
<td>9847927981</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(Professor of Civil Eng.)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>By M. Sugunath Reddy</td>
<td></td>
<td>996629651</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Professor of Humanities</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>V. Sivaray</td>
<td></td>
<td>970137216</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Asst. Executive Engineer</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>K. Rakshanath Reddy</td>
<td></td>
<td>94409796</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(PENDLIMARRY)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>M. Santhi</td>
<td></td>
<td>944060831</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>SGP. Sarpanch</td>
<td></td>
<td>9963172</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Chellopalli G.P.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pendlimarri (H), Y.S.R.(Tt.)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>949272725</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>N. Ravi Kiran</td>
<td></td>
<td>9490903334</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Executive Engineer</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>N. Ramesh</td>
<td></td>
<td>944083573</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
APPRAISAL REPORT OF THE EXPERT GROUP CONSTITUTED FOR STUDY
OF THE SOCIAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT REPORT OF FINAL SOCIAL IMPACT
ASSESSMENT (SIA) AND SOCIAL IMPACT MANAGEMENT PLAN (SIMP) ON
CONSTRUCTION OF NEW B G RAILWAY LINE BETWEEN PENDLIMARRI AND
VIRANNAGUTTAPALLY RAILWAY STATIONS FROM 22.00 KM TO 40.00 KM
UNDER PHASE-II IN KADAPA DISTRICT.

The District Collector, Y.S.R District, Kadapa has constituted an Expert Group
through the orders Ref. G1/1327/2015 dated 04-09-2017 as per the provision laid
down in section 7 of the Right to Fair Compensation & Transparency in Land
Acquisition, Rehabilitation and resettlement Act, 2013, to evaluate the social Impact
Assessment (SIA) report and Social impact Management plan (SIMP) with the
provisions of the Act in section 7 and report to the District collector. The Expert
Group consisted of the following namely.

1. Dr. G. Sreenivasa Reddy, Professor & HOD, Civil Engineering Dept., Kadapa
2. Dr. M. Sugunatha Reddy, Professor, Humanities Dept., Kadapa
7. Sri Cheemalapenta Raja Rathnam, S/o Pedda Uttanna, Cheemalapenta
8. Sri Kalla Ramachandra, S/o Obulaiah, Cheemalapenta
9. Sri Neerugattu Venkata Ramana, S/o Obanna, Gondipalli
11. Sri Musalalahgari Siva Sankar, S/o Narasimhulu, Nandimandalam
15. Smt. O. Sarada, Superintending Engineer, TDP., Kadapa
17. Sri V. Sivaram, Assistant Executive Engineer, Construction, Kadapa.
12. D. Sreenath Bay  
Balayyanipalli  
2808668691

13. A.B.  

14. U. Venkata  
SE | CNSS (FA)  
331019653  
9440152750

15. C. Saraswathi  
SE | TGPE  
941806855
The committee gone through SIA study report, the proceeding of the public hearing, the details of social economic study conducted, the EIA study reports, Railway map in addition to making a visit to the villages Pendlimarri, Chimalapenta, Gondipalli and Nandimandalam to study in respect of the following issues.

- Whether the project serves any public purpose.
- Whether the potential benefits outweigh the social costs and adverse social impacts.
- Whether the extent of land proposed to be acquired is the absolute bare minimum extent needed for the project.
- Whether there are other less displacing options available.

Accordingly a meeting is convened with the expert group members on 28.9.17 & Site visit is made to Pendlimarri, Cheemalapenta, Gondipalli and Nandimandalam villages on 4.10.17 to observe the ground realities on alignment of B.G line between Pendlimarri and Veerannagutta Palli and interaction with the PAF's and PDF's. The following is evaluated from the observations of the final SIA and SIMP reports conducted by the Rural integrated and social education society Hyderabad.

**Brief Description of the Project,**

The south Central Railway, Tirupathi division proposed to form New Broad Gauge Railway line between Kadapa to Bangalore City covering 255.54 Kms length. In this context it is proposed to be acquired Ac.272.53 cents of Pendlimarri, Chinnadasaripalli, Cheemalapenta, Gondipalli, and Nandimandalam villages of Pendlimarri Mandal, Kadapa Division, Alireddipalli village of Vempalli Mandal, Jammalamadugu division of Kadapa District, for construction of new BG Railway line between Pendlimarri and Virannaguttapalli railway stations from 22.00 Km. to 40.00 Km under Phase II.

**Public Purpose**

The railways proposed this project keeping the Kadapa is said to be the heart of Rayalaseema. It is centrally located and well connected with the 4 districts of Rayalaseema Region of Andhra Pradesh. It cover the requirement of a common
A commuter in view of there is a demand from the towns, villagers to provide the new rail transport facility to the Bangalore city of Karnataka. The project will entail a multitude of benefits for the people of Kadapa district, Chittoor district, and the people of the Karnataka.

**Potential Benefits.**

- Provision for new alignment of railway lines in the southern region,
- Providing more and easy access to the Kadapa- Bangalore with the major towns
- Provision for alternative transport facilities between Kadapa and Bangalore
- Saving of travelling time
- Providing new facility to the residents of this area to work place, schools, hospitals and market.
- Scope for traffic diversions in times of necessity.
- Alternative way of transport.
- Employment opportunities during the construction, operation and maintenance period of project.
- Decrease in pollution level, enhancement of quality of environment.
- Agriculture too will benefit by being able to quickly transport their produce without delay.

**Adverse social Impacts**

- Loss of asset (residential buildings) / Agriculture Lands to people
- Loss of trees and bore wells
- Loss of Livelihood
- Displacement of people from their home, livelihood base.
- Difficulty in road crossing /in the approaches to their field areas
- Accident due to increased speed
- Noise/Air Pollution
Social costs

Social Impact Assessment has been carried out in accordance with the provision of section 4 of the RECTLARR Act 2013 and APRFCT LARR Rules 2014. The scope of socio-economic study is to include the impacts due to the proposed project. Based on the site survey, it will generate socio-economic data about project affected families and prepare an inventory of property. Based on the data, the project proponent shall develop measures to safeguard the PAFs from the loss occurred due to the proposed project with an objective of sustainable development.

Findings of Analysis of the data.

Land acquisition and Resettlement.

- Total Ac.272.53 of land is proposed to be acquired from Pendlimarri, Chinnadasaripalli, Cheemalapenta, Gondipalli, and Nandimandalam villages of Pendlimarri mandal, Kadapad division, Alireddipalli village of Vempalli mandal, Jammalamadugu division of Kadapa district.
  - In this Ac 269.97 is agriculture land in which majority of the land is owned by private owners and in which Ac. 16.01 DKT land, Ac. 23.79 Ac Government land.
  - Ac 2.56 homestead area, 11 residential structures are affecting. In this 11 PAFs 2 are partially affected families (PAFs), and 09 are project displaced families (PDFs)
  - The land proposed for acquisition is under cultivation of Paddy, Cotton, Jowar, Groundnut, Sunflower. The main horticulture crops like Citrus and Mango are affecting.

Compensation shall be paid accordingly, for relocation of residential buildings, agriculture land, agriculture bore wells, open land likely to be affected due to the proposed project.

Impact on People

- 555 Agriculture families are affected under this project. 165 persons are losing 15 Cents and above of Agriculture lands. Therefore, the project will not affect livelihood adversely.
• Majority of the stakeholders in this study is from the age limit between 41-50 years contribute 36.40%, between 31-40 years contribute to 27.56% percent, 22.52% stakeholders are from the age limit of 21-30.

• Marital status of the PAP's is 73 % are married, while 23% are unmarried and 4% are widow.

• Among the PAFs, the analysis on education status of the PAF's shows that 28.64% percent were illiterate, 25.58% percent were studied up to primary and 17.11% percent studied up to 10th Standard, 8.64% percent studies up to intermediate level, 7.02% percent up to Graduation and 5.76% percent studied up to Post Graduate level.

• The analysis of Occupational categories indicates that 41.80% percent work in Agriculture, 21.80% percent were in the private service and those who are in the casual labor, government service, petty business, housewife constituted less than 10% percent.

• It was observed from the socio economic survey PAFs that majority is from backward caste communities, constituting 54.41% percent of the total households, Forward caste member constituting nearly 41.44% percent and Schedule Caste constitutes 4.14% percent.

• Religious division indicates that the total 555 PAFs, 86.49% percent belong to Hindu religion. Muslim and Christian constitute less than 10% percent.

• Out of 555 families, 98 % are losing agriculture lands and 2 % in homestead structures.

Perception and attitude of the PAFs

• 71% of the affected families consider that project will have negative impact in terms of loss of assets and lands to people and 21 % stated that they have difficulty in road crossings.

• It is revealed that a majority of the PAFs (78.38%) opted for Self-Managed – Cash assistance.

Benefit Cost Ratio

Social costs are calculated by comparing project benefits and negative impacts, from construction of proposed project in 6 villages. Total cost of construction of this
11. PAFs belonging to loss of homesteads demanding higher rate for their lands as their land is nearer to house sites of village and also requesting rehabilitation adjacent to the village houses.

12. PAFs demanded provision for water pipe line connections across the track.

Evaluation and opinion of the expert group on of SIA and SIMP reports (As per section 7 of the LARR Act 2013).

- The project of new B.G line between Kadapa to Bengaluru will certainly deserves public purpose. The development of proposed project has significant positive impacts as evaluated under potential benefits in the above report.
- The potential benefits outweigh the social costs and adverse social impacts.
- Efforts have been made by the railway department to keep land requirement to the barest minimum by so choosing the alignment that the acquisition of private property is minimal and stations are nearer to the villages.
- The project will not affect lively hood adversely as per the evaluation under social profile of the PAP's as evaluated above.
- The problems due to adverse social affects can be mitigated by properly addressing during land acquisition, Rehabilitation and resettlement.
- The villagers fear that with the inflow of compensation money, the usage of intoxicants and drugs will increase, especially among the youngsters. Some preemptive measures like social counseling and some reformatory measures like de-addiction should be taken up.
proposed project is approx. Rs.125.00 Crores, which includes cost of land and construction cost for the length of 18 Kms. However, this cost will provide new railway line between Kadapa to Bangalore city, which is welcomed by all the villagers and other public. Whereas the land acquisition and R&R cost about Rs.49.00 Crores at this section.

Demands of the PAFs during site visit of Expert Group.

1. Majority of PAFs requested that bit of land left over also needs to be taken by the Government and compensation also need to be given including these bits of lands which is not useful or accessible for cultivation.
2. The PAFs requested for the new road facility for left over Agriculture lands (Service road).
3. Majority PAFs are demanding one time settlement and onetime payment.
4. Majority PAFs demanded for pre acquisition i.e., starting the work after full compensation is paid.
5. Few PAFs are interested in land to land settlement.
6. PAF of Chinna Dasari Palli, Under the survey no.155 informed that there is a mistake in Revenue records that the land is not on the owners name but belongs to somebody else. PAFs are demanding to address such problems before notification.
7. The Agriculture land affected persons informed that they should get the reasonable cost according to the private market value per Acre of agriculture land which is 10-15 lakhs,
8. Majority of the affected farmers informed that he is losing agriculture land, and bore well. This is the only bore well where water is available at 1300 feet's, hence Government should enhance the amount to be paid to the bore wells,
9. Youth who are educated and also affected by loss of livelihood may be given job in railway department.
10. Majority of the farmers are losing income from mango and lime trees because of laying of railway line, Hence, they are demanding for suitable compensation as their livelihood is affected.
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