

**Serial Number of the Candidate in Summary Report of the DEO-2**  
**Name of the State- Kerala District-Idukki Election -General Elections to HPC**

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961		
S.No	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1	Name & Address of the candidate	LEETHESH P T, Paravanparambil, Perumpallichira P O, Kumaramangalam
2	Political Party affiliation, if any	BSP
3	No. and name of Assembly/ Parliamentary Constituency	013-Idukki HPC
4	Name of the elected candidate	Adv. Dean Kuriakose
5	Date of deceleration of result	23.05.2019
6	Date of Account Reconciliation meeting	18.06.2019
7	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after the Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes/No). (If not defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No.19)	Yes
9	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	22.06.2019
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the Account	Yes
11	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate	
	(i) original account	18.06.2019
	(ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	NA
12	Whether the Account lodged in time	Yes
12A	If not lodged in time, period of delay	NA
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof	NA
14	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	NA
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	NA
15	Grand Total of all expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	₹109693/- (Rupees One lakh nine thousand six hundred and ninety three

					only)	
16	Whether in DEO's opinion, the accounts of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R.P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961				Yes	
17	If no, then mention the following defects with details					
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged				NA	
	(ii) Whether duly sworn affidavit has been submitted by the candidate				NA	
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted				NA	
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election				NA	
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account				NA	
18	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect				No	
	(ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect				NA	
	(iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not				NA	
19	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence If not, then mention the following				Yes. Expenses reported by the candidate are higher than those reflected in the SOR and FOE.	
	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention the amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
	i			NA		
	ii					
TOTAL						
20	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by				No. Produced two times only. Notice was issued by the RO.	

	Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period	
21	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above give the following details	NA
	(i) Were the defects noticed by the DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during the campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting	NA
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice	NA
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice	NA
	(iv) If yes, then annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	NA
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	NA
22	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no.8 of Summary Report of DEO)	Yes
	Date: 24.06.2019	Signature : Name of the DEO: H DINESHAN IAS

Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer\*

No Comments.

Date : 24.06.2019

Signature of the Expenditure Observer

\* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

\*\* The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.