

Serial Number of the Candidate in Summary Report of the DEO-8  
Name of the State- Kerala District-Idukki Election -General Elections to HPC

| DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961 |   |  |
|---|---|--|
| S.No  | Description   | To be filled up by the DEO   |
| 1   | Name & Address of the candidate   | GOMATHY, 11/240, Lower Division, Devikulam Estate, Munnar P O  |
| 2   | Political Party affiliation, if any   | Independent  |
| 3   | No. and name of Assembly/ Parliamentary Constituency  | 013-Idukki HPC   |
| 4   | Name of the elected candidate   | Adv. Dean Kuriakose  |
| 5   | Date of deceleration of result  | 23.05.2019   |
| 6   | Date of Account Reconciliation meeting  | 18.06.2019   |
| 7   | (i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing  | Yes  |
|   | (ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting   | No   |
| 8   | Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after the Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes/No). (If not defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No.19) | NA   |
| 9   | Last date prescribed for lodging Account  | 22.06.2019   |
| 10  | Whether the candidate has lodged the Account  | No   |
| 11  | If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate  |  |
|   | (i) original account  | NA   |
|   | (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting   | NA   |
| 12  | Whether the Account lodged in time  | No   |
| 12A   | If not lodged in time, period of delay  | NA   |
| 13  | If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof  | Yes  |
| 14  | Explanation, if any, given by the candidate   | Yes. The candidate stated in Letter dated 24.06.2019 that she is not well versed in Malayalam language and therefore, she could not submit the accounts. She stated that account will be submitted in this |

|     |   |      |   |  |  |                                     |
|-----|---|------|---|--|--|-------------------------------------|
|     |   |      |   |  | week itself.   |                                     |
| 14A | Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate   |      |   |  | Compliance by the candidate is being monitored.      |                                     |
| 15  | Grand Total of all expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement  |      |   |  | NA   |                                     |
| 16  | Whether in DEO's opinion, the accounts of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R.P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961                                      |      |   |  | NA   |                                     |
| 17  | If no, then mention the following defects with details  |      |   |  |  |                                     |
|     | (i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged   |      |   |  | NA   |                                     |
|     | (ii) Whether duly sworn affidavit has been submitted by the candidate   |      |   |  | NA   |                                     |
|     | (iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted  |      |   |  | NA   |                                     |
|     | (iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election  |      |   |  | NA   |                                     |
|     | (v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account  |      |   |  | NA   |                                     |
| 18  | (i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect  |      |   |  | NA   |                                     |
|     | (ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect   |      |   |  | NA   |                                     |
|     | (iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not  |      |   |  | NA   |                                     |
| 19  | Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence<br>If not, then mention the following |      |   |  | NA   |                                     |
|     | Items of expenditure  | Date | Page No. of Shadow Observation Register | Mention the amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence | Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate | Amount understated by the candidate |
| i   |   |      |   |  |  |                                     |

|       |  |  |  |  |  |
|-------|--|--|--|--|--|
| ii    |  |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20    | Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period              | No. Produced only two times.                   |  |  |  |
| 21    | If DEO does not agrees with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 refereed to above give the following details  | NA   |  |  |  |
|       | (i) Were the defects noticed by the DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during the campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting                 | Yes  |  |  |  |
|       | (ii) If yes, then annex copies of all notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice | 17.04.2019                                     |  |  |  |
|       | (iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice   | No   |  |  |  |
|       | (iv) If yes, then annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply           | NA   |  |  |  |
|       | (v) DEO' s comments/observations on the candidate' s explanation   | NA   |  |  |  |
| 22    | Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate.<br>(Should be similar th Column no.8 of Summary Report of DEO)                       | NA   |  |  |  |
|       | Date: 24.06.2019   | Signature :<br>Name of the DEO: H DINESHAN IAS |  |  |  |

Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer\*

No Comments.

Date : 24.06.2019

Signature of the Expenditure Observer

\* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO' s report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

\*\* The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.