






Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO

Name of the State : Telangana, District : Nalgonda, Election : Assembly.

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTIO EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961

S. No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1	Name & address of the candidate	Nenavath Balu Nair R/o: H.No. 4-31, Surya Thanda, Mudiigonda vill, Devarakonda Mdl, Nalgonda
2	Political Party affiliation, if any	INC
3	No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	86(ST), Devarakonda
4	Name of the elected candidate	Ramavath Ravindra Kumar
5	Date of declaration of result	11.12.18
6	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	27.12.18, 07.01.19
7	(i) Whether the Candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing.	(i) Yes/No ✓
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting.	(ii) Yes/No ✓
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the Candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No.19)	Yes/No ✓
9	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	10.01.19
10	Whether the Candidate has lodged the account.	Yes/No ✓
11	If the Candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate :	09.01.19
	(i) Original account	
	(ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting.	
12	Whether account lodged in time.	Yes/No ✓
12 A	If not lodged in time, period of delay Days
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate.	Yes/No
14	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate.	—
14 A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	✓

15	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement		Rs. 12,67,600.00			
16	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R.P. Act 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961		Yes/No ✓			
17	If No, then please mention the following defects with details.		—			
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged.		Yes/No ✓			
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate.		Yes/No ✓			
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted.		Yes/No ✓			
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election.		Yes/No ✓			
18	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account.		Yes/No ✓			
	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect.		Yes/No			
	(ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect. (iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. Whether the defect was rectified or not.		Yes/No			
19	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:		Yes/No ✓			
	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
	i					
	ii, iii,					
TOTAL						
20	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period.		Yes/No ✓			

21	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No.19 referred to above, give the following details.	—
	(i) Were the deects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account	Yes/No
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice.	—
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice ?	Yes/No
	(iv) If yes, pelase Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply.	—
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation.	—
22	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column No.8 of Summary Report of DEO) Date :	✓ Yes/No
<div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; align-items: center;"> <div style="text-align: center;">  Accounting Team </div> <div style="text-align: center;">  A.E.O. </div> <div style="text-align: center;">  R.O. </div> <div style="text-align: center;">  Signature (DR. GAURAV UPPAL, I.A.S.) District Election Officer, Nalgonda </div> </div>		
23	Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer* - EXPENDITURE MORE THAN Rs. 10,000 NOT MADE THROUGH CHEQUE. DEO MAY ISSUE NOTICE WITHIN TIME. Date : 10/01/19	 Signature of the Expenditure Observer 12/01

* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

** The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission.
If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.