

CANDIDATE WISE SCRUTINY REPORT OF THE DEO ON LODGING OF ELECTION EXPENSES UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES,1961 TO THE HOUSE OF THE PEOPLE OR STATE LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY

STATE: Tamil Nadu	CANDIDATE NAME: KANNAN
DISTRICT: 29 TIRUNELVELI	PARTY: Bahujan Samaj Party
CONSTITUENCY: 37 Tenkasi	DATE OF LODGING 15/06/2014

Did the candidate produce his register of Election Expenditure for inspection by the observer/RO (Yes/No) 3 times during campaign period?	No
Was any discrepancy pointed out to the candidate at the time of inspection of register by the Observer. If yes, mention the discrepancy?	No
Was a notice pertaining to any discrepancy in expenditure even to the candidate by RO? please mention date and nature of the discrepancy.	No
Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?(please Annex copy of the notice and explanation received)	No
Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate (Yes/No)	Yes
Was there any incident of distribution of money, food or other items in the constituency by the candidate or his agents or his party functionaries or any other person related to the candidate? please mention date and name	No
Grand Total of all election expenses by the candidate as mentioned in part-II of the abstract statement, filed by the candidate.	Rs 200,700

Defects noticed in the format by the DEO

DEFECT

Details where expenditure has been understated/not mentioned at all by the candidate

Items of Expenditure	Details	Amount Observed	Amount by Candidate	Amount Understated
			Total:	

CANDIDATE WISE SCRUTINY REPORT OF THE DEO ON LODGING OF ELECTION EXPENSES UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES,1961 TO THE HOUSE OF THE PEOPLE OR STATE LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY

STATE: Tamil Nadu	CANDIDATE NAME: LINGAM.P
DISTRICT: 29 TIRUNELVELI	PARTY: Communist Party of India
CONSTITUENCY: 37 Tenkasi	DATE OF LODGING: 13/06/2014

Did the candidate produce his register of Election Expenditure for inspection by the observer/RO (Yes/No) 3 times during campaign period?	Yes
Was any discrepancy pointed out to the candidate at the time of inspection of register by the Observer. If yes, mention the discrepancy?	No
Was a notice pertaining to any discrepancy in expenditure even to the candidate by RO? please mention date and nature of the discrepancy.	No
Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?(please Annex copy of the notice and explanation received)	No
Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate (Yes/No)	Yes
Was there any incident of distribution of money, food or other items in the constituency by the candidate or his agents or his party functionaries or any other person related to the candidate? please mention date and name	No
Grand Total of all election expenses by the candidate as mentioned in part-II of the abstract statement, filed by the candidate.	Rs 1,721,013

Defects noticed in the format by the DEO

DEFECT

Details where expenditure has been understated/not mentioned at all by the candidate

Items of Expenditure	Details	Amount Observed	Amount by Candidate	Amount Understated
			Total:	

Fund details

Source of fund: **POL_PARTY**

Sponsor	Mode	Amount
OWN PARTY	Cheque/Online	1,503,636
OWN PARTY	Cash	236,000
Total:		1,739,636

CANDIDATE WISE SCRUTINY REPORT OF THE DEO ON LODGING OF ELECTION EXPENSES UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES,1961 TO THE HOUSE OF THE PEOPLE OR STATE LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY

STATE: Tamil Nadu	CANDIDATE NAME: VASANTHI.M
DISTRICT: 29 TIRUNELVELI	PARTY: All India Anna Dravida Munnetra Ka
CONSTITUENCY: 37 Tenkasi	DATE OF LODGING: 15/06/2014

Did the candidate produce his register of Election Expenditure for inspection by the observer/RO (Yes/No) 3 times during campaign period?	Yes
Was any discrepancy pointed out to the candidate at the time of inspection of register by the Observer. If yes, mention the discrepancy?	No
Was a notice pertaining to any discrepancy in expenditure even to the candidate by RO? please mention date and nature of the discrepancy.	No
Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?(please Annex copy of the notice and explanation received)	No
Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate (Yes/No)	Yes
Was there any incident of distribution of money, food or other items in the constituency by the candidate or his agents or his party functionaries or any other person related to the candidate? please mention date and name	No
Grand Total of all election expenses by the candidate as mentioned in part-II of the abstract statement, filed by the candidate.	Rs 2,515,086

Defects noticed in the format by the DEO

DEFECT

Details where expenditure has been understated/not mentioned at all by the candidate

Items of Expenditure	Details	Amount Observed	Amount by Candidate	Amount Understated
			Total:	

Fund details

Source of fund: OTHERS

Sponsor	Mode	Amount
CHEQUE	Cheque/Online	2,450,000
NONE	Cash	290,000
Total:		2,740,000

Source of fund: POL_PARTY

Sponsor	Mode	Amount
OWN PARTY	Cheque/Online	0
OWN PARTY	Cash	0
Total:		0

CANDIDATE WISE SCRUTINY REPORT OF THE DEO ON LODGING OF ELECTION EXPENSES UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES,1961 TO THE HOUSE OF THE PEOPLE OR STATE LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY

STATE: Tamil Nadu	CANDIDATE NAME: DR.JAYAKUMAR.K.
DISTRICT: 29 TIRUNELVELI	PARTY: Indian National Congress
CONSTITUENCY: 37 Tenkasi	DATE OF LODGING: 15/06/2014

Did the candidate produce his register of Election Expenditure for inspection by the observer/RO (Yes/No) 3 times during campaign period?	Yes
Was any discrepancy pointed out to the candidate at the time of inspection of register by the Observer. If yes, mention the discrepancy?	No
Was a notice pertaining to any discrepancy in expenditure even to the candidate by RO? please mention date and nature of the discrepancy.	No
Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?(please Annex copy of the notice and explanation received)	No
Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate (Yes/No)	Yes
Was there any incident of distribution of money, food or other items in the constituency by the candidate or his agents or his party functionaries or any other person related to the candidate? please mention date and name	No
Grand Total of all election expenses by the candidate as mentioned in part-II of the abstract statement, filed by the candidate.	Rs 1,983,260

Defects noticed in the format by the DEO

DEFECT

Details where expenditure has been understated/not mentioned at all by the candidate

Items of Expenditure	Details	Amount Observed	Amount by Candidate	Amount Understated
			Total:	

Fund details

Source of fund: **OTHERS**

Sponsor	Mode	Amount
K..ASOKAN	Cash	50,000
Total:		50,000

CANDIDATE WISE SCRUTINY REPORT OF THE DEO ON LODGING OF ELECTION EXPENSES UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES,1961 TO THE HOUSE OF THE PEOPLE OR STATE LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY

STATE: Tamil Nadu	CANDIDATE NAME: DR.KRISHNASAMY.K.
DISTRICT: 29 TIRUNELVELI	PARTY: Puthiya Tamilagam
CONSTITUENCY: 37 Tenkasi	DATE OF LODGING 15/06/2014

Did the candidate produce his register of Election Expenditure for inspection by the observer/RO (Yes/No) 3 times during campaign period?	Yes
Was any discrepancy pointed out to the candidate at the time of inspection of register by the Observer. If yes, mention the discrepancy?	No
Was a notice pertaining to any discrepancy in expenditure even to the candidate by RO? please mention date and nature of the discrepancy.	No
Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?(please Annex copy of the notice and explanation received)	No
Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate (Yes/No)	Yes
Was there any incident of distribution of money, food or other items in the constituency by the candidate or his agents or his party functionaries or any other person related to the candidate? please mention date and name	No
Grand Total of all election expenses by the candidate as mentioned in part-II of the abstract statement, filed by the candidate.	Rs 2,359,653

Defects noticed in the format by the DEO

DEFECT

Details where expenditure has been understated/not mentioned at all by the candidate

Items of Expenditure	Details	Amount Observed	Amount by Candidate	Amount Understated
			Total:	

Fund details

Source of fund: **POL_PARTY**

Sponsor	Mode	Amount
OWN PARTY	Cheque/Online	5,000,000
Total:		5,000,000

CANDIDATE WISE SCRUTINY REPORT OF THE DEO ON LODGING OF ELECTION EXPENSES UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES,1961 TO THE HOUSE OF THE PEOPLE OR STATE LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY

STATE: Tamil Nadu	CANDIDATE NAME: GUNASEKARAN.K.
DISTRICT: 29 TIRUNELVELI	PARTY: Tamil Nadu Makkal Congress
CONSTITUENCY: 37 Tenkasi	DATE OF LODGING: 15/06/2014

Did the candidate produce his register of Election Expenditure for inspection by the observer/RO (Yes/No) 3 times during campaign period?	Yes
Was any discrepancy pointed out to the candidate at the time of inspection of register by the Observer. If yes, mention the discrepancy?	No
Was a notice pertaining to any discrepancy in expenditure even to the candidate by RO? please mention date and nature of the discrepancy.	No
Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?(please Annex copy of the notice and explanation received)	No
Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate (Yes/No)	Yes
Was there any incident of distribution of money, food or other items in the constituency by the candidate or his agents or his party functionaries or any other person related to the candidate? please mention date and name	No
Grand Total of all election expenses by the candidate as mentioned in part-II of the abstract statement, filed by the candidate.	Rs 40,300

Defects noticed in the format by the DEO

DEFECT

Details where expenditure has been understated/not mentioned at all by the candidate

Items of Expenditure	Details	Amount Observed	Amount by Candidate	Amount Understated
			Total:	

CANDIDATE WISE SCRUTINY REPORT OF THE DEO ON LODGING OF ELECTION EXPENSES UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES,1961 TO THE HOUSE OF THE PEOPLE OR STATE LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY

STATE: Tamil Nadu	CANDIDATE NAME: DR.SADHAN THIRUMALAIKUMAR.1
DISTRICT: 29 TIRUNELVELI	PARTY: Marumalarchi Dravida Munnetra Ka
CONSTITUENCY: 37 Tenkasi	DATE OF LODGING: 13/06/2014

Did the candidate produce his register of Election Expenditure for inspection by the observer/RO (Yes/No) 3 times during campaign period?	Yes
Was any discrepancy pointed out to the candidate at the time of inspection of register by the Observer. If yes, mention the discrepancy?	No
Was a notice pertaining to any discrepancy in expenditure even to the candidate by RO? please mention date and nature of the discrepancy.	No
Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?(please Annex copy of the notice and explanation received)	No
Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate (Yes/No)	Yes
Was there any incident of distribution of money, food or other items in the constituency by the candidate or his agents or his party functionaries or any other person related to the candidate? please mention date and name	No
Grand Total of all election expenses by the candidate as mentioned in part-II of the abstract statement, filed by the candidate.	Rs 2,886,364

Defects noticed in the format by the DEO

DEFECT

Details where expenditure has been understated/not mentioned at all by the candidate

Items of Expenditure	Details	Amount Observed	Amount by Candidate	Amount Understated
			Total:	

Fund details

Source of fund: OTHERS

Sponsor	Mode	Amount
SBI CHEQUE NO 665358	Cheque/Online	25,000
Total:		25,000

Source of fund: POL_PARTY

Sponsor	Mode	Amount
OWN PARTY	Cheque/Online	6,500,000
Total:		6,500,000

CANDIDATE WISE SCRUTINY REPORT OF THE DEO ON LODGING OF ELECTION EXPENSES UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES,1961 TO THE HOUSE OF THE PEOPLE OR STATE LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY

STATE: Tamil Nadu	CANDIDATE NAME: POONGANI.J.
DISTRICT: 29 TIRUNELVELI	PARTY: Samata Party
CONSTITUENCY: 37 Tenkasi	DATE OF LODGING

Did the candidate produce his register of Election Expenditure for inspection by the observer/RO (Yes/No) 3 times during campaign period?	
Was any discrepancy pointed out to the candidate at the time of inspection of register by the Observer. If yes, mention the discrepancy?	
Was a notice pertaining to any discrepancy in expenditure even to the candidate by RO? please mention date and nature of the discrepancy.	
Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?(please Annex copy of the notice and explanation received)	
Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate (Yes/No)	
Was there any incident of distribution of money, food or other items in the constituency by the candidate or his agents or his party functionaries or any other person related to the candidate? please mention date and name	
Grand Total of all election expenses by the candidate as mentioned in part-II of the abstract statement, filed by the candidate.	Rs

Defects noticed in the format by the DEO

DEFECT

Details where expenditure has been understated/not mentioned at all by the candidate

Items of Expenditure	Details	Amount Observed	Amount by Candidate	Amount Understated
			Total:	

CANDIDATE WISE SCRUTINY REPORT OF THE DEO ON LODGING OF ELECTION EXPENSES UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES,1961 TO THE HOUSE OF THE PEOPLE OR STATE LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY

STATE: Tamil Nadu	CANDIDATE NAME: MEENASHISUNDARAVADIVEL.T.
DISTRICT: 29 TIRUNELVELI	PARTY: Communist Party of India (Marxist-I
CONSTITUENCY: 37 Tenkasi	DATE OF LODGING

Did the candidate produce his register of Election Expenditure for inspection by the observer/RO (Yes/No) 3 times during campaign period?	
Was any discrepancy pointed out to the candidate at the time of inspection of register by the Observer. If yes, mention the discrepancy?	
Was a notice pertaining to any discrepancy in expenditure even to the candidate by RO? please mention date and nature of the discrepancy.	
Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?(please Annex copy of the notice and explanation received)	
Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate (Yes/No)	
Was there any incident of distribution of money, food or other items in the constituency by the candidate or his agents or his party functionaries or any other person related to the candidate? please mention date and name	
Grand Total of all election expenses by the candidate as mentioned in part-II of the abstract statement, filed by the candidate.	Rs

Defects noticed in the format by the DEO

DEFECT

Details where expenditure has been understated/not mentioned at all by the candidate

Items of Expenditure	Details	Amount Observed	Amount by Candidate	Amount Understated
			Total:	

CANDIDATE WISE SCRUTINY REPORT OF THE DEO ON LODGING OF ELECTION EXPENSES UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES,1961 TO THE HOUSE OF THE PEOPLE OR STATE LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY

STATE: Tamil Nadu	CANDIDATE NAME: ALAGUMALAI.V.
DISTRICT: 29 TIRUNELVELI	PARTY: Independent
CONSTITUENCY: 37 Tenkasi	DATE OF LODGING 15/06/2014

Did the candidate produce his register of Election Expenditure for inspection by the observer/RO (Yes/No) 3 times during campaign period?	Yes
Was any discrepancy pointed out to the candidate at the time of inspection of register by the Observer. If yes, mention the discrepancy?	No
Was a notice pertaining to any discrepancy in expenditure even to the candidate by RO? please mention date and nature of the discrepancy.	No
Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?(please Annex copy of the notice and explanation received)	No
Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate (Yes/No)	Yes
Was there any incident of distribution of money, food or other items in the constituency by the candidate or his agents or his party functionaries or any other person related to the candidate? please mention date and name	No
Grand Total of all election expenses by the candidate as mentioned in part-II of the abstract statement, filed by the candidate.	Rs 49,950

Defects noticed in the format by the DEO

DEFECT

Details where expenditure has been understated/not mentioned at all by the candidate

Items of Expenditure	Details	Amount Observed	Amount by Candidate	Amount Understated
			Total:	

CANDIDATE WISE SCRUTINY REPORT OF THE DEO ON LODGING OF ELECTION EXPENSES UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES,1961 TO THE HOUSE OF THE PEOPLE OR STATE LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY

STATE: Tamil Nadu	CANDIDATE NAME: GANESAN.S.
DISTRICT: 29 TIRUNELVELI	PARTY: Independent
CONSTITUENCY: 37 Tenkasi	DATE OF LODGING

Did the candidate produce his register of Election Expenditure for inspection by the observer/RO (Yes/No) 3 times during campaign period?	
Was any discrepancy pointed out to the candidate at the time of inspection of register by the Observer. If yes, mention the discrepancy?	
Was a notice pertaining to any discrepancy in expenditure given to the candidate by RO? please mention date and nature of the discrepancy.	
Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?(please Annex copy of the notice and explanation received)	
Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate (Yes/No)	
Was there any incident of distribution of money, food or other items in the constituency by the candidate or his agents or his party functionaries or any other person related to the candidate? please mention date and name	
Grand Total of all election expenses by the candidate as mentioned in part-II of the abstract statement, filed by the candidate.	Rs

Defects noticed in the format by the DEO

DEFECT

Details where expenditure has been understated/not mentioned at all by the candidate

Items of Expenditure	Details	Amount Observed	Amount by Candidate	Amount Understated
			Total:	

CANDIDATE WISE SCRUTINY REPORT OF THE DEO ON LODGING OF ELECTION EXPENSES UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES,1961 TO THE HOUSE OF THE PEOPLE OR STATE LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY

STATE: Tamil Nadu	CANDIDATE NAME: KRISHNASAMY.A.
DISTRICT: 29 TIRUNELVELI	PARTY: Independent
CONSTITUENCY: 37 Tenkasi	DATE OF LODGING 15/06/2014

Did the candidate produce his register of Election Expenditure for inspection by the observer/RO (Yes/No) 3 times during campaign period?	No
Was any discrepancy pointed out to the candidate at the time of inspection of register by the Observer. If yes, mention the discrepancy?	No
Was a notice pertaining to any discrepancy in expenditure even to the candidate by RO? please mention date and nature of the discrepancy.	No
Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?(please Annex copy of the notice and explanation received)	No
Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate (Yes/No)	Yes
Was there any incident of distribution of money, food or other items in the constituency by the candidate or his agents or his party functionaries or any other person related to the candidate? please mention date and name	No
Grand Total of all election expenses by the candidate as mentioned in part-II of the abstract statement, filed by the candidate.	Rs 36,000

Defects noticed in the format by the DEO

DEFECT

Details where expenditure has been understated/not mentioned at all by the candidate

Items of Expenditure	Details	Amount Observed	Amount by Candidate	Amount Understated
			Total:	

CANDIDATE WISE SCRUTINY REPORT OF THE DEO ON LODGING OF ELECTION EXPENSES UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES,1961 TO THE HOUSE OF THE PEOPLE OR STATE LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY

STATE: Tamil Nadu	CANDIDATE NAME: MAGESH.S.
DISTRICT: 29 TIRUNELVELI	PARTY: Independent
CONSTITUENCY: 37 Tenkasi	DATE OF LODGING

Did the candidate produce his register of Election Expenditure for inspection by the observer/RO (Yes/No) 3 times during campaign period?	
Was any discrepancy pointed out to the candidate at the time of inspection of register by the Observer. If yes, mention the discrepancy?	
Was a notice pertaining to any discrepancy in expenditure even to the candidate by RO? please mention date and nature of the discrepancy.	
Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?(please Annex copy of the notice and explanation received)	
Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate (Yes/No)	
Was there any incident of distribution of money, food or other items in the constituency by the candidate or his agents or his party functionaries or any other person related to the candidate? please mention date and name	
Grand Total of all election expenses by the candidate as mentioned in part-II of the abstract statement, filed by the candidate.	Rs

Defects noticed in the format by the DEO

DEFECT

Details where expenditure has been understated/not mentioned at all by the candidate

Items of Expenditure	Details	Amount Observed	Amount by Candidate	Amount Understated
			Total:	

CANDIDATE WISE SCRUTINY REPORT OF THE DEO ON LODGING OF ELECTION EXPENSES UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES,1961 TO THE HOUSE OF THE PEOPLE OR STATE LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY

STATE: Tamil Nadu	CANDIDATE NAME: MANMATHAN,M.
DISTRICT: 29 TIRUNELVELI	PARTY: Independent
CONSTITUENCY: 37 Tenkasi	DATE OF LODGING 13/06/2014

Did the candidate produce his register of Election Expenditure for inspection by the observer/RO (Yes/No) 3 times during campaign period?	Yes
Was any discrepancy pointed out to the candidate at the time of inspection of register by the Observer. If yes, mention the discrepancy?	No
Was a notice pertaining to any discrepancy in expenditure even to the candidate by RO? please mention date and nature of the discrepancy.	No
Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?(please Annex copy of the notice and explanation received)	No
Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate (Yes/No)	Yes
Was there any incident of distribution of money, food or other items in the constituency by the candidate or his agents or his party functionaries or any other person related to the candidate? please mention date and name	No
Grand Total of all election expenses by the candidate as mentioned in part-II of the abstract statement, filed by the candidate.	Rs 13,975

Defects noticed in the format by the DEO

DEFECT

Details where expenditure has been understated/not mentioned at all by the candidate

Items of Expenditure	Details	Amount Observed	Amount by Candidate	Amount Understated
			Total:	

CANDIDATE WISE SCRUTINY REPORT OF THE DEO ON LODGING OF ELECTION EXPENSES UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES,1961 TO THE HOUSE OF THE PEOPLE OR STATE LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY

STATE: Tamil Nadu	CANDIDATE NAME: MUNEESWARAN
DISTRICT: 29 TIRUNELVELI	PARTY: Independent
CONSTITUENCY: 37 Tenkasi	DATE OF LODGING 14/06/2014

Did the candidate produce his register of Election Expenditure for inspection by the observer/RO (Yes/No) 3 times during campaign period?	Yes
Was any discrepancy pointed out to the candidate at the time of inspection of register by the Observer. If yes, mention the discrepancy?	No
Was a notice pertaining to any discrepancy in expenditure even to the candidate by RO? please mention date and nature of the discrepancy.	No
Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?(please Annex copy of the notice and explanation received)	No
Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate (Yes/No)	Yes
Was there any incident of distribution of money, food or other items in the constituency by the candidate or his agents or his party functionaries or any other person related to the candidate? please mention date and name	No
Grand Total of all election expenses by the candidate as mentioned in part-II of the abstract statement, filed by the candidate.	Rs 25,714

Defects noticed in the format by the DEO

DEFECT

Details where expenditure has been understated/not mentioned at all by the candidate

Items of Expenditure	Details	Amount Observed	Amount by Candidate	Amount Understated
			Total:	

CANDIDATE WISE SCRUTINY REPORT OF THE DEO ON LODGING OF ELECTION EXPENSES UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES,1961 TO THE HOUSE OF THE PEOPLE OR STATE LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY

STATE: Tamil Nadu	CANDIDATE NAME: RADHAKRISHNAN.S.
DISTRICT: 29 TIRUNELVELI	PARTY: Independent
CONSTITUENCY: 37 Tenkasi	DATE OF LODGING 14/06/2014

Did the candidate produce his register of Election Expenditure for inspection by the observer/RO (Yes/No) 3 times during campaign period?	No
Was any discrepancy pointed out to the candidate at the time of inspection of register by the Observer. If yes, mention the discrepancy?	No
Was a notice pertaining to any discrepancy in expenditure even to the candidate by RO? please mention date and nature of the discrepancy.	No
Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?(please Annex copy of the notice and explanation received)	No
Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate (Yes/No)	Yes
Was there any incident of distribution of money, food or other items in the constituency by the candidate or his agents or his party functionaries or any other person related to the candidate? please mention date and name	No
Grand Total of all election expenses by the candidate as mentioned in part-II of the abstract statement, filed by the candidate.	Rs 75,800

Defects noticed in the format by the DEO

DEFECT

Details where expenditure has been understated/not mentioned at all by the candidate

Items of Expenditure	Details	Amount Observed	Amount by Candidate	Amount Understated
			Total:	

CANDIDATE WISE SCRUTINY REPORT OF THE DEO ON LODGING OF ELECTION EXPENSES UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES,1961 TO THE HOUSE OF THE PEOPLE OR STATE LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY

STATE: Tamil Nadu	CANDIDATE NAME: VELLADURAI.P.
DISTRICT: 29 TIRUNELVELI	PARTY: Independent
CONSTITUENCY: 37 Tenkasi	DATE OF LODGING: 13/06/2014

Did the candidate produce his register of Election Expenditure for inspection by the observer/RO (Yes/No) 3 times during campaign period?	No
Was any discrepancy pointed out to the candidate at the time of inspection of register by the Observer. If yes, mention the discrepancy?	No
Was a notice pertaining to any discrepancy in expenditure even to the candidate by RO? please mention date and nature of the discrepancy.	No
Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?(please Annex copy of the notice and explanation received)	No
Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate (Yes/No)	Yes
Was there any incident of distribution of money, food or other items in the constituency by the candidate or his agents or his party functionaries or any other person related to the candidate? please mention date and name	No
Grand Total of all election expenses by the candidate as mentioned in part-II of the abstract statement, filed by the candidate.	Rs 34,000

Defects noticed in the format by the DEO

DEFECT

Details where expenditure has been understated/not mentioned at all by the candidate

Items of Expenditure	Details	Amount Observed	Amount by Candidate	Amount Understated
			Total:	

CANDIDATE WISE SCRUTINY REPORT OF THE DEO ON LODGING OF ELECTION EXPENSES UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES,1961 TO THE HOUSE OF THE PEOPLE OR STATE LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY

STATE: Tamil Nadu	CANDIDATE NAME: JANAGAR RAJA
DISTRICT: 29 TIRUNELVELI	PARTY: Independent
CONSTITUENCY: 37 Tenkasi	DATE OF LODGING 15/06/2014

Did the candidate produce his register of Election Expenditure for inspection by the observer/RO (Yes/No) 3 times during campaign period?	No
Was any discrepancy pointed out to the candidate at the time of inspection of register by the Observer. If yes, mention the discrepancy?	No
Was a notice pertaining to any discrepancy in expenditure even to the candidate by RO? please mention date and nature of the discrepancy.	No
Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?(please Annex copy of the notice and explanation received)	No
Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate (Yes/No)	Yes
Was there any incident of distribution of money, food or other items in the constituency by the candidate or his agents or his party functionaries or any other person related to the candidate? please mention date and name	No
Grand Total of all election expenses by the candidate as mentioned in part-II of the abstract statement, filed by the candidate.	Rs 28,600

Defects noticed in the format by the DEO

DEFECT

Details where expenditure has been understated/not mentioned at all by the candidate

Items of Expenditure	Details	Amount Observed	Amount by Candidate	Amount Understated
			Total:	