

CANDIDATE WISE SCRUTINY REPORT OF THE DEO ON LODGING OF ELECTION EXPENSES UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES,1961 TO THE HOUSE OF THE PEOPLE OR STATE LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY

STATE: Tamil Nadu	CANDIDATE NAME: SIVANANENTHAPERUMAL.S.
DISTRICT: 29 TIRUNELVELI	PARTY: Desiya Murpokku Dravida Kazhagai
CONSTITUENCY: 38 Tirunelveli	DATE OF LODGING 15/06/2014

Did the candidate produce his register of Election Expenditure for inspection by the observer/RO (Yes/No) 3 times during campaign period?	Yes
Was any discrepancy pointed out to the candidate at the time of inspection of register by the Observer. If yes, mention the discrepancy?	No
Was a notice pertaining to any discrepancy in expenditure even to the candidate by RO? please mention date and nature of the discrepancy.	No
Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?(please Annex copy of the notice and explanation received)	No
Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate (Yes/No)	Yes
Was there any incident of distribution of money, food or other items in the constituency by the candidate or his agents or his party functionaries or any other person related to the candidate? please mention date and name	No
Grand Total of all election expenses by the candidate as mentioned in part-II of the abstract statement, filed by the candidate.	Rs 4,181,326

Defects noticed in the format by the DEO

DEFECT

Details where expenditure has been understated/not mentioned at all by the candidate

Items of Expenditure	Details	Amount Observed	Amount by Candidate	Amount Understated
			Total:	

CANDIDATE WISE SCRUTINY REPORT OF THE DEO ON LODGING OF ELECTION EXPENSES UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES,1961 TO THE HOUSE OF THE PEOPLE OR STATE LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY

STATE: Tamil Nadu	CANDIDATE NAME: DEVADASA SUNDARAM
DISTRICT: 29 TIRUNELVELI	PARTY: Dravida Munnetra Kazhagam
CONSTITUENCY: 38 Tirunelveli	DATE OF LODGING 15/06/2014

Did the candidate produce his register of Election Expenditure for inspection by the observer/RO (Yes/No) 3 times during campaign period?	Yes
Was any discrepancy pointed out to the candidate at the time of inspection of register by the Observer. If yes, mention the discrepancy?	No
Was a notice pertaining to any discrepancy in expenditure even to the candidate by RO? please mention date and nature of the discrepancy.	No
Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?(please Annex copy of the notice and explanation received)	No
Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate (Yes/No)	Yes
Was there any incident of distribution of money, food or other items in the constituency by the candidate or his agents or his party functionaries or any other person related to the candidate? please mention date and name	No
Grand Total of all election expenses by the candidate as mentioned in part-II of the abstract statement, filed by the candidate.	Rs 4,567,131

Defects noticed in the format by the DEO

DEFECT

Details where expenditure has been understated/not mentioned at all by the candidate

Items of Expenditure	Details	Amount Observed	Amount by Candidate	Amount Understated
			Total:	

Fund details

Source of fund: **POL_PARTY**

Sponsor	Mode	Amount
OWN PARTY	Cash	5,000,000
Total:		5,000,000

CANDIDATE WISE SCRUTINY REPORT OF THE DEO ON LODGING OF ELECTION EXPENSES UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES,1961 TO THE HOUSE OF THE PEOPLE OR STATE LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY

STATE: Tamil Nadu	CANDIDATE NAME: THEVENDRAN.T.
DISTRICT: 29 TIRUNELVELI	PARTY: Bahujan Samaj Party
CONSTITUENCY: 38 Tirunelveli	DATE OF LODGING 15/06/2014

Did the candidate produce his register of Election Expenditure for inspection by the observer/RO (Yes/No) 3 times during campaign period?	Yes
Was any discrepancy pointed out to the candidate at the time of inspection of register by the Observer. If yes, mention the discrepancy?	No
Was a notice pertaining to any discrepancy in expenditure even to the candidate by RO? please mention date and nature of the discrepancy.	No
Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?(please Annex copy of the notice and explanation received)	No
Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate (Yes/No)	No
Was there any incident of distribution of money, food or other items in the constituency by the candidate or his agents or his party functionaries or any other person related to the candidate? please mention date and name	No
Grand Total of all election expenses by the candidate as mentioned in part-II of the abstract statement, filed by the candidate.	Rs 58,775

Defects noticed in the format by the DEO

DEFECT

Details where expenditure has been understated/not mentioned at all by the candidate

Items of Expenditure	Details	Amount Observed	Amount by Candidate	Amount Understated
			Total:	

CANDIDATE WISE SCRUTINY REPORT OF THE DEO ON LODGING OF ELECTION EXPENSES UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES,1961 TO THE HOUSE OF THE PEOPLE OR STATE LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY

STATE: Tamil Nadu	CANDIDATE NAME: PRABAKARAN.K.R.P
DISTRICT: 29 TIRUNELVELI	PARTY: All India Anna Dravida Munnetra Ka
CONSTITUENCY: 38 Tirunelveli	DATE OF LODGING 15/06/2014

Did the candidate produce his register of Election Expenditure for inspection by the observer/RO (Yes/No) 3 times during campaign period?	Yes
Was any discrepancy pointed out to the candidate at the time of inspection of register by the Observer. If yes, mention the discrepancy?	No
Was a notice pertaining to any discrepancy in expenditure even to the candidate by RO? please mention date and nature of the discrepancy.	No
Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?(please Annex copy of the notice and explanation received)	No
Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate (Yes/No)	Yes
Was there any incident of distribution of money, food or other items in the constituency by the candidate or his agents or his party functionaries or any other person related to the candidate? please mention date and name	No
Grand Total of all election expenses by the candidate as mentioned in part-II of the abstract statement, filed by the candidate.	Rs 2,330,535

Defects noticed in the format by the DEO

DEFECT

Details where expenditure has been understated/not mentioned at all by the candidate

Items of Expenditure	Details	Amount Observed	Amount by Candidate	Amount Understated
			Total:	

Fund details

Source of fund: **OTHERS**

Sponsor	Mode	Amount
OTHERS	Cash	1,919,000
Total:		1,919,000

CANDIDATE WISE SCRUTINY REPORT OF THE DEO ON LODGING OF ELECTION EXPENSES UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES,1961 TO THE HOUSE OF THE PEOPLE OR STATE LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY

STATE: Tamil Nadu	CANDIDATE NAME: RAMASUBBU.S.S
DISTRICT: 29 TIRUNELVELI	PARTY: Indian National Congress
CONSTITUENCY: 38 Tirunelveli	DATE OF LODGING 15/06/2014

Did the candidate produce his register of Election Expenditure for inspection by the observer/RO (Yes/No) 3 times during campaign period?	Yes
Was any discrepancy pointed out to the candidate at the time of inspection of register by the Observer. If yes, mention the discrepancy?	No
Was a notice pertaining to any discrepancy in expenditure even to the candidate by RO? please mention date and nature of the discrepancy.	No
Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?(please Annex copy of the notice and explanation received)	No
Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate (Yes/No)	Yes
Was there any incident of distribution of money, food or other items in the constituency by the candidate or his agents or his party functionaries or any other person related to the candidate? please mention date and name	No
Grand Total of all election expenses by the candidate as mentioned in part-II of the abstract statement, filed by the candidate.	Rs 3,194,944

Defects noticed in the format by the DEO

DEFECT

Details where expenditure has been understated/not mentioned at all by the candidate

Items of Expenditure	Details	Amount Observed	Amount by Candidate	Amount Understated
			Total:	

CANDIDATE WISE SCRUTINY REPORT OF THE DEO ON LODGING OF ELECTION EXPENSES UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES,1961 TO THE HOUSE OF THE PEOPLE OR STATE LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY

STATE: Tamil Nadu	CANDIDATE NAME: SESURAJ.M.P
DISTRICT: 29 TIRUNELVELI	PARTY: Aam Aadmi Party
CONSTITUENCY: 38 Tirunelveli	DATE OF LODGING 15/06/2014

Did the candidate produce his register of Election Expenditure for inspection by the observer/RO (Yes/No) 3 times during campaign period?	Yes
Was any discrepancy pointed out to the candidate at the time of inspection of register by the Observer. If yes, mention the discrepancy?	No
Was a notice pertaining to any discrepancy in expenditure even to the candidate by RO? please mention date and nature of the discrepancy.	No
Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?(please Annex copy of the notice and explanation received)	No
Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate (Yes/No)	Yes
Was there any incident of distribution of money, food or other items in the constituency by the candidate or his agents or his party functionaries or any other person related to the candidate? please mention date and name	No
Grand Total of all election expenses by the candidate as mentioned in part-II of the abstract statement, filed by the candidate.	Rs 622,758

Defects noticed in the format by the DEO

DEFECT

Details where expenditure has been understated/not mentioned at all by the candidate

Items of Expenditure	Details	Amount Observed	Amount by Candidate	Amount Understated
			Total:	

CANDIDATE WISE SCRUTINY REPORT OF THE DEO ON LODGING OF ELECTION EXPENSES UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES,1961 TO THE HOUSE OF THE PEOPLE OR STATE LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY

STATE: Tamil Nadu	CANDIDATE NAME: DHANARAJ.R.
DISTRICT: 29 TIRUNELVELI	PARTY: Indian Christian Secular Party
CONSTITUENCY: 38 Tirunelveli	DATE OF LODGING 15/06/2014

Did the candidate produce his register of Election Expenditure for inspection by the observer/RO (Yes/No) 3 times during campaign period?	Yes
Was any discrepancy pointed out to the candidate at the time of inspection of register by the Observer. If yes, mention the discrepancy?	No
Was a notice pertaining to any discrepancy in expenditure even to the candidate by RO? please mention date and nature of the discrepancy.	No
Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?(please Annex copy of the notice and explanation received)	No
Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate (Yes/No)	Yes
Was there any incident of distribution of money, food or other items in the constituency by the candidate or his agents or his party functionaries or any other person related to the candidate? please mention date and name	No
Grand Total of all election expenses by the candidate as mentioned in part-II of the abstract statement, filed by the candidate.	Rs 606,500

Defects noticed in the format by the DEO

DEFECT

Details where expenditure has been understated/not mentioned at all by the candidate

Items of Expenditure	Details	Amount Observed	Amount by Candidate	Amount Understated
			Total:	

CANDIDATE WISE SCRUTINY REPORT OF THE DEO ON LODGING OF ELECTION EXPENSES UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES,1961 TO THE HOUSE OF THE PEOPLE OR STATE LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY

STATE: Tamil Nadu	CANDIDATE NAME: DHEVANATHAN YADAV.T.
DISTRICT: 29 TIRUNELVELI	PARTY: Tamil Nadu Makkal Congress
CONSTITUENCY: 38 Tirunelveli	DATE OF LODGING 15/06/2014

Did the candidate produce his register of Election Expenditure for inspection by the observer/RO (Yes/No) 3 times during campaign period?	Yes
Was any discrepancy pointed out to the candidate at the time of inspection of register by the Observer. If yes, mention the discrepancy?	No
Was a notice pertaining to any discrepancy in expenditure even to the candidate by RO? please mention date and nature of the discrepancy.	No
Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?(please Annex copy of the notice and explanation received)	No
Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate (Yes/No)	Yes
Was there any incident of distribution of money, food or other items in the constituency by the candidate or his agents or his party functionaries or any other person related to the candidate? please mention date and name	No
Grand Total of all election expenses by the candidate as mentioned in part-II of the abstract statement, filed by the candidate.	Rs 1,052,147

Defects noticed in the format by the DEO

DEFECT

Details where expenditure has been understated/not mentioned at all by the candidate

Items of Expenditure	Details	Amount Observed	Amount by Candidate	Amount Understated
			Total:	

Fund details

Source of fund: **OTHERS**

Sponsor	Mode	Amount
OTHERS	Cash	407,647
Total:		407,647

CANDIDATE WISE SCRUTINY REPORT OF THE DEO ON LODGING OF ELECTION EXPENSES UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES,1961 TO THE HOUSE OF THE PEOPLE OR STATE LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY

STATE: Tamil Nadu	CANDIDATE NAME: MOHAMED MUBARAK.V.M.S
DISTRICT: 29 TIRUNELVELI	PARTY: SOCIAL DEMOCRATIC PARTY OF INDIA
CONSTITUENCY: 38 Tirunelveli	DATE OF LODGING 15/06/2014

Did the candidate produce his register of Election Expenditure for inspection by the observer/RO (Yes/No) 3 times during campaign period?	Yes
Was any discrepancy pointed out to the candidate at the time of inspection of register by the Observer. If yes, mention the discrepancy?	No
Was a notice pertaining to any discrepancy in expenditure given to the candidate by RO? please mention date and nature of the discrepancy.	No
Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?(please Annex copy of the notice and explanation received)	No
Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate (Yes/No)	Yes
Was there any incident of distribution of money, food or other items in the constituency by the candidate or his agents or his party functionaries or any other person related to the candidate? please mention date and name	No
Grand Total of all election expenses by the candidate as mentioned in part-II of the abstract statement, filed by the candidate.	Rs 759,409

Defects noticed in the format by the DEO

DEFECT

Details where expenditure has been understated/not mentioned at all by the candidate

Items of Expenditure	Details	Amount Observed	Amount by Candidate	Amount Understated
			Total:	

Fund details

Source of fund: **POL_PARTY**

Sponsor	Mode	Amount
OWN PARTY	Cash	759,409
Total:		759,409

CANDIDATE WISE SCRUTINY REPORT OF THE DEO ON LODGING OF ELECTION EXPENSES UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES,1961 TO THE HOUSE OF THE PEOPLE OR STATE LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY

STATE: Tamil Nadu	CANDIDATE NAME: ELIZABETH.D
DISTRICT: 29 TIRUNELVELI	PARTY: Independent
CONSTITUENCY: 38 Tirunelveli	DATE OF LODGING

Did the candidate produce his register of Election Expenditure for inspection by the observer/RO (Yes/No) 3 times during campaign period?	
Was any discrepancy pointed out to the candidate at the time of inspection of register by the Observer. If yes, mention the discrepancy?	
Was a notice pertaining to any discrepancy in expenditure even to the candidate by RO? please mention date and nature of the discrepancy.	
Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?(please Annex copy of the notice and explanation received)	
Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate (Yes/No)	
Was there any incident of distribution of money, food or other items in the constituency by the candidate or his agents or his party functionaries or any other person related to the candidate? please mention date and name	
Grand Total of all election expenses by the candidate as mentioned in part-II of the abstract statement, filed by the candidate.	Rs

Defects noticed in the format by the DEO

DEFECT

Details where expenditure has been understated/not mentioned at all by the candidate

Items of Expenditure	Details	Amount Observed	Amount by Candidate	Amount Understated
			Total:	

CANDIDATE WISE SCRUTINY REPORT OF THE DEO ON LODGING OF ELECTION EXPENSES UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES,1961 TO THE HOUSE OF THE PEOPLE OR STATE LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY

STATE: Tamil Nadu	CANDIDATE NAME: DR.GANESAN.M
DISTRICT: 29 TIRUNELVELI	PARTY: Independent
CONSTITUENCY: 38 Tirunelveli	DATE OF LODGING 15/06/2014

Did the candidate produce his register of Election Expenditure for inspection by the observer/RO (Yes/No) 3 times during campaign period?	Yes
Was any discrepancy pointed out to the candidate at the time of inspection of register by the Observer. If yes, mention the discrepancy?	No
Was a notice pertaining to any discrepancy in expenditure even to the candidate by RO? please mention date and nature of the discrepancy.	No
Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?(please Annex copy of the notice and explanation received)	No
Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate (Yes/No)	Yes
Was there any incident of distribution of money, food or other items in the constituency by the candidate or his agents or his party functionaries or any other person related to the candidate? please mention date and name	No
Grand Total of all election expenses by the candidate as mentioned in part-II of the abstract statement, filed by the candidate.	Rs 119,250

Defects noticed in the format by the DEO

DEFECT

Details where expenditure has been understated/not mentioned at all by the candidate

Items of Expenditure	Details	Amount Observed	Amount by Candidate	Amount Understated
			Total:	

CANDIDATE WISE SCRUTINY REPORT OF THE DEO ON LODGING OF ELECTION EXPENSES UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES,1961 TO THE HOUSE OF THE PEOPLE OR STATE LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY

STATE: Tamil Nadu	CANDIDATE NAME: SARAVANAN.P
DISTRICT: 29 TIRUNELVELI	PARTY: Independent
CONSTITUENCY: 38 Tirunelveli	DATE OF LODGING

Did the candidate produce his register of Election Expenditure for inspection by the observer/RO (Yes/No) 3 times during campaign period?	
Was any discrepancy pointed out to the candidate at the time of inspection of register by the Observer. If yes, mention the discrepancy?	
Was a notice pertaining to any discrepancy in expenditure even to the candidate by RO? please mention date and nature of the discrepancy.	
Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?(please Annex copy of the notice and explanation received)	
Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate (Yes/No)	
Was there any incident of distribution of money, food or other items in the constituency by the candidate or his agents or his party functionaries or any other person related to the candidate? please mention date and name	
Grand Total of all election expenses by the candidate as mentioned in part-II of the abstract statement, filed by the candidate.	Rs

Defects noticed in the format by the DEO

DEFECT

Details where expenditure has been understated/not mentioned at all by the candidate

Items of Expenditure	Details	Amount Observed	Amount by Candidate	Amount Understated
			Total:	

CANDIDATE WISE SCRUTINY REPORT OF THE DEO ON LODGING OF ELECTION EXPENSES UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES,1961 TO THE HOUSE OF THE PEOPLE OR STATE LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY

STATE: Tamil Nadu	CANDIDATE NAME: SUBRAMANIAN.K
DISTRICT: 29 TIRUNELVELI	PARTY: Independent
CONSTITUENCY: 38 Tirunelveli	DATE OF LODGING 15/06/2014

Did the candidate produce his register of Election Expenditure for inspection by the observer/RO (Yes/No) 3 times during campaign period?	Yes
Was any discrepancy pointed out to the candidate at the time of inspection of register by the Observer. If yes, mention the discrepancy?	No
Was a notice pertaining to any discrepancy in expenditure even to the candidate by RO? please mention date and nature of the discrepancy.	No
Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?(please Annex copy of the notice and explanation received)	No
Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate (Yes/No)	Yes
Was there any incident of distribution of money, food or other items in the constituency by the candidate or his agents or his party functionaries or any other person related to the candidate? please mention date and name	No
Grand Total of all election expenses by the candidate as mentioned in part-II of the abstract statement, filed by the candidate.	Rs 32,330

Defects noticed in the format by the DEO

DEFECT

Details where expenditure has been understated/not mentioned at all by the candidate

Items of Expenditure	Details	Amount Observed	Amount by Candidate	Amount Understated
			Total:	

CANDIDATE WISE SCRUTINY REPORT OF THE DEO ON LODGING OF ELECTION EXPENSES UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES,1961 TO THE HOUSE OF THE PEOPLE OR STATE LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY

STATE: Tamil Nadu	CANDIDATE NAME: SUBRAMANIAN @ S.S.S.MANI.S
DISTRICT: 29 TIRUNELVELI	PARTY: Independent
CONSTITUENCY: 38 Tirunelveli	DATE OF LODGING 15/06/2014

Did the candidate produce his register of Election Expenditure for inspection by the observer/RO (Yes/No) 3 times during campaign period?	Yes
Was any discrepancy pointed out to the candidate at the time of inspection of register by the Observer. If yes, mention the discrepancy?	No
Was a notice pertaining to any discrepancy in expenditure even to the candidate by RO? please mention date and nature of the discrepancy.	No
Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?(please Annex copy of the notice and explanation received)	No
Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate (Yes/No)	Yes
Was there any incident of distribution of money, food or other items in the constituency by the candidate or his agents or his party functionaries or any other person related to the candidate? please mention date and name	No
Grand Total of all election expenses by the candidate as mentioned in part-II of the abstract statement, filed by the candidate.	Rs 61,775

Defects noticed in the format by the DEO

DEFECT

Details where expenditure has been understated/not mentioned at all by the candidate

Items of Expenditure	Details	Amount Observed	Amount by Candidate	Amount Understated
			Total:	

Fund details

Source of fund: **OTHERS**

Sponsor	Mode	Amount
OTHERS	Cash	4,565
Total:		4,565

CANDIDATE WISE SCRUTINY REPORT OF THE DEO ON LODGING OF ELECTION EXPENSES UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES,1961 TO THE HOUSE OF THE PEOPLE OR STATE LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY

STATE: Tamil Nadu	CANDIDATE NAME: SURESH KUMAR.S
DISTRICT: 29 TIRUNELVELI	PARTY: Independent
CONSTITUENCY: 38 Tirunelveli	DATE OF LODGING 15/06/2014

Did the candidate produce his register of Election Expenditure for inspection by the observer/RO (Yes/No) 3 times during campaign period?	Yes
Was any discrepancy pointed out to the candidate at the time of inspection of register by the Observer. If yes, mention the discrepancy?	No
Was a notice pertaining to any discrepancy in expenditure even to the candidate by RO? please mention date and nature of the discrepancy.	No
Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?(please Annex copy of the notice and explanation received)	No
Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate (Yes/No)	Yes
Was there any incident of distribution of money, food or other items in the constituency by the candidate or his agents or his party functionaries or any other person related to the candidate? please mention date and name	No
Grand Total of all election expenses by the candidate as mentioned in part-II of the abstract statement, filed by the candidate.	Rs 29,509

Defects noticed in the format by the DEO

DEFECT

Details where expenditure has been understated/not mentioned at all by the candidate

Items of Expenditure	Details	Amount Observed	Amount by Candidate	Amount Understated
			Total:	

CANDIDATE WISE SCRUTINY REPORT OF THE DEO ON LODGING OF ELECTION EXPENSES UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES,1961 TO THE HOUSE OF THE PEOPLE OR STATE LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY

STATE: Tamil Nadu	CANDIDATE NAME: SELVAGANAPATHY.G
DISTRICT: 29 TIRUNELVELI	PARTY: Independent
CONSTITUENCY: 38 Tirunelveli	DATE OF LODGING 15/06/2014

Did the candidate produce his register of Election Expenditure for inspection by the observer/RO (Yes/No) 3 times during campaign period?	Yes
Was any discrepancy pointed out to the candidate at the time of inspection of register by the Observer. If yes, mention the discrepancy?	No
Was a notice pertaining to any discrepancy in expenditure even to the candidate by RO? please mention date and nature of the discrepancy.	No
Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?(please Annex copy of the notice and explanation received)	No
Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate (Yes/No)	Yes
Was there any incident of distribution of money, food or other items in the constituency by the candidate or his agents or his party functionaries or any other person related to the candidate? please mention date and name	No
Grand Total of all election expenses by the candidate as mentioned in part-II of the abstract statement, filed by the candidate.	Rs 39,630

Defects noticed in the format by the DEO

DEFECT

Details where expenditure has been understated/not mentioned at all by the candidate

Items of Expenditure	Details	Amount Observed	Amount by Candidate	Amount Understated
			Total:	

Fund details

Source of fund: **OTHERS**

Sponsor	Mode	Amount
OTHERS	Cash	1,000
Total:		1,000

CANDIDATE WISE SCRUTINY REPORT OF THE DEO ON LODGING OF ELECTION EXPENSES UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES,1961 TO THE HOUSE OF THE PEOPLE OR STATE LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY

STATE: Tamil Nadu	CANDIDATE NAME: DEVADOSS.A.R
DISTRICT: 29 TIRUNELVELI	PARTY: Independent
CONSTITUENCY: 38 Tirunelveli	DATE OF LODGING 15/06/2014

Did the candidate produce his register of Election Expenditure for inspection by the observer/RO (Yes/No) 3 times during campaign period?	Yes
Was any discrepancy pointed out to the candidate at the time of inspection of register by the Observer. If yes, mention the discrepancy?	No
Was a notice pertaining to any discrepancy in expenditure even to the candidate by RO? please mention date and nature of the discrepancy.	No
Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?(please Annex copy of the notice and explanation received)	No
Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate (Yes/No)	Yes
Was there any incident of distribution of money, food or other items in the constituency by the candidate or his agents or his party functionaries or any other person related to the candidate? please mention date and name	No
Grand Total of all election expenses by the candidate as mentioned in part-II of the abstract statement, filed by the candidate.	Rs 172,147

Defects noticed in the format by the DEO

DEFECT

Details where expenditure has been understated/not mentioned at all by the candidate

Items of Expenditure	Details	Amount Observed	Amount by Candidate	Amount Understated
			Total:	

Fund details

Source of fund: **OTHERS**

Sponsor	Mode	Amount
OTHERS	Cash	95,500
Total:		95,500

CANDIDATE WISE SCRUTINY REPORT OF THE DEO ON LODGING OF ELECTION EXPENSES UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES,1961 TO THE HOUSE OF THE PEOPLE OR STATE LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY

STATE: Tamil Nadu	CANDIDATE NAME: PATHMANABAN.K
DISTRICT: 29 TIRUNELVELI	PARTY: Independent
CONSTITUENCY: 38 Tirunelveli	DATE OF LODGING

Did the candidate produce his register of Election Expenditure for inspection by the observer/RO (Yes/No) 3 times during campaign period?	
Was any discrepancy pointed out to the candidate at the time of inspection of register by the Observer. If yes, mention the discrepancy?	
Was a notice pertaining to any discrepancy in expenditure even to the candidate by RO? please mention date and nature of the discrepancy.	
Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?(please Annex copy of the notice and explanation received)	
Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate (Yes/No)	
Was there any incident of distribution of money, food or other items in the constituency by the candidate or his agents or his party functionaries or any other person related to the candidate? please mention date and name	
Grand Total of all election expenses by the candidate as mentioned in part-II of the abstract statement, filed by the candidate.	Rs

Defects noticed in the format by the DEO

DEFECT

Details where expenditure has been understated/not mentioned at all by the candidate

Items of Expenditure	Details	Amount Observed	Amount by Candidate	Amount Understated
			Total:	

CANDIDATE WISE SCRUTINY REPORT OF THE DEO ON LODGING OF ELECTION EXPENSES UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES,1961 TO THE HOUSE OF THE PEOPLE OR STATE LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY

STATE: Tamil Nadu	CANDIDATE NAME: MURUGAN.S
DISTRICT: 29 TIRUNELVELI	PARTY: Independent
CONSTITUENCY: 38 Tirunelveli	DATE OF LODGING 15/06/2014

Did the candidate produce his register of Election Expenditure for inspection by the observer/RO (Yes/No) 3 times during campaign period?	Yes
Was any discrepancy pointed out to the candidate at the time of inspection of register by the Observer. If yes, mention the discrepancy?	No
Was a notice pertaining to any discrepancy in expenditure even to the candidate by RO? please mention date and nature of the discrepancy.	No
Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?(please Annex copy of the notice and explanation received)	No
Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate (Yes/No)	Yes
Was there any incident of distribution of money, food or other items in the constituency by the candidate or his agents or his party functionaries or any other person related to the candidate? please mention date and name	No
Grand Total of all election expenses by the candidate as mentioned in part-II of the abstract statement, filed by the candidate.	Rs 78,525

Defects noticed in the format by the DEO

DEFECT

Details where expenditure has been understated/not mentioned at all by the candidate

Items of Expenditure	Details	Amount Observed	Amount by Candidate	Amount Understated
			Total:	

CANDIDATE WISE SCRUTINY REPORT OF THE DEO ON LODGING OF ELECTION EXPENSES UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES,1961 TO THE HOUSE OF THE PEOPLE OR STATE LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY

STATE: Tamil Nadu	CANDIDATE NAME: MOHAN.T
DISTRICT: 29 TIRUNELVELI	PARTY: Independent
CONSTITUENCY: 38 Tirunelveli	DATE OF LODGING 15/06/2014

Did the candidate produce his register of Election Expenditure for inspection by the observer/RO (Yes/No) 3 times during campaign period?	Yes
Was any discrepancy pointed out to the candidate at the time of inspection of register by the Observer. If yes, mention the discrepancy?	No
Was a notice pertaining to any discrepancy in expenditure even to the candidate by RO? please mention date and nature of the discrepancy.	No
Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?(please Annex copy of the notice and explanation received)	No
Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate (Yes/No)	Yes
Was there any incident of distribution of money, food or other items in the constituency by the candidate or his agents or his party functionaries or any other person related to the candidate? please mention date and name	No
Grand Total of all election expenses by the candidate as mentioned in part-II of the abstract statement, filed by the candidate.	Rs 160,415

Defects noticed in the format by the DEO

DEFECT

Details where expenditure has been understated/not mentioned at all by the candidate

Items of Expenditure	Details	Amount Observed	Amount by Candidate	Amount Understated
			Total:	

CANDIDATE WISE SCRUTINY REPORT OF THE DEO ON LODGING OF ELECTION EXPENSES UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES,1961 TO THE HOUSE OF THE PEOPLE OR STATE LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY

STATE: Tamil Nadu	CANDIDATE NAME: RAJAMARAVAN @ MANICKAVASA
DISTRICT: 29 TIRUNELVELI	PARTY: Independent
CONSTITUENCY: 38 Tirunelveli	DATE OF LODGING: 15/06/2014

Did the candidate produce his register of Election Expenditure for inspection by the observer/RO (Yes/No) 3 times during campaign period?	Yes
Was any discrepancy pointed out to the candidate at the time of inspection of register by the Observer. If yes, mention the discrepancy?	No
Was a notice pertaining to any discrepancy in expenditure even to the candidate by RO? please mention date and nature of the discrepancy.	No
Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?(please Annex copy of the notice and explanation received)	No
Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate (Yes/No)	Yes
Was there any incident of distribution of money, food or other items in the constituency by the candidate or his agents or his party functionaries or any other person related to the candidate? please mention date and name	No
Grand Total of all election expenses by the candidate as mentioned in part-II of the abstract statement, filed by the candidate.	Rs 44,660

Defects noticed in the format by the DEO

DEFECT

Details where expenditure has been understated/not mentioned at all by the candidate

Items of Expenditure	Details	Amount Observed	Amount by Candidate	Amount Understated
			Total:	

CANDIDATE WISE SCRUTINY REPORT OF THE DEO ON LODGING OF ELECTION EXPENSES UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES,1961 TO THE HOUSE OF THE PEOPLE OR STATE LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY

STATE: Tamil Nadu	CANDIDATE NAME: RAJI YADAV
DISTRICT: 29 TIRUNELVELI	PARTY: Independent
CONSTITUENCY: 38 Tirunelveli	DATE OF LODGING 15/06/2014

Did the candidate produce his register of Election Expenditure for inspection by the observer/RO (Yes/No) 3 times during campaign period?	Yes
Was any discrepancy pointed out to the candidate at the time of inspection of register by the Observer. If yes, mention the discrepancy?	No
Was a notice pertaining to any discrepancy in expenditure even to the candidate by RO? please mention date and nature of the discrepancy.	No
Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?(please Annex copy of the notice and explanation received)	No
Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate (Yes/No)	Yes
Was there any incident of distribution of money, food or other items in the constituency by the candidate or his agents or his party functionaries or any other person related to the candidate? please mention date and name	No
Grand Total of all election expenses by the candidate as mentioned in part-II of the abstract statement, filed by the candidate.	Rs 25,000

Defects noticed in the format by the DEO

DEFECT

Details where expenditure has been understated/not mentioned at all by the candidate

Items of Expenditure	Details	Amount Observed	Amount by Candidate	Amount Understated
			Total:	

CANDIDATE WISE SCRUTINY REPORT OF THE DEO ON LODGING OF ELECTION EXPENSES UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES,1961 TO THE HOUSE OF THE PEOPLE OR STATE LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY

STATE: Tamil Nadu	CANDIDATE NAME: RAJESHKANNAN
DISTRICT: 29 TIRUNELVELI	PARTY: Independent
CONSTITUENCY: 38 Tirunelveli	DATE OF LODGING 15/06/2014

Did the candidate produce his register of Election Expenditure for inspection by the observer/RO (Yes/No) 3 times during campaign period?	Yes
Was any discrepancy pointed out to the candidate at the time of inspection of register by the Observer. If yes, mention the discrepancy?	No
Was a notice pertaining to any discrepancy in expenditure even to the candidate by RO? please mention date and nature of the discrepancy.	No
Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?(please Annex copy of the notice and explanation received)	No
Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate (Yes/No)	Yes
Was there any incident of distribution of money, food or other items in the constituency by the candidate or his agents or his party functionaries or any other person related to the candidate? please mention date and name	No
Grand Total of all election expenses by the candidate as mentioned in part-II of the abstract statement, filed by the candidate.	Rs 44,000

Defects noticed in the format by the DEO

DEFECT

Details where expenditure has been understated/not mentioned at all by the candidate

Items of Expenditure	Details	Amount Observed	Amount by Candidate	Amount Understated
			Total:	

CANDIDATE WISE SCRUTINY REPORT OF THE DEO ON LODGING OF ELECTION EXPENSES UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES,1961 TO THE HOUSE OF THE PEOPLE OR STATE LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY

STATE: Tamil Nadu	CANDIDATE NAME: LAKSHMANAN @ LAKKAN.A
DISTRICT: 29 TIRUNELVELI	PARTY: Independent
CONSTITUENCY: 38 Tirunelveli	DATE OF LODGING 15/06/2014

Did the candidate produce his register of Election Expenditure for inspection by the observer/RO (Yes/No) 3 times during campaign period?	Yes
Was any discrepancy pointed out to the candidate at the time of inspection of register by the Observer. If yes, mention the discrepancy?	No
Was a notice pertaining to any discrepancy in expenditure even to the candidate by RO? please mention date and nature of the discrepancy.	No
Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?(please Annex copy of the notice and explanation received)	No
Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate (Yes/No)	Yes
Was there any incident of distribution of money, food or other items in the constituency by the candidate or his agents or his party functionaries or any other person related to the candidate? please mention date and name	No
Grand Total of all election expenses by the candidate as mentioned in part-II of the abstract statement, filed by the candidate.	Rs 636,510

Defects noticed in the format by the DEO

DEFECT

Details where expenditure has been understated/not mentioned at all by the candidate

Items of Expenditure	Details	Amount Observed	Amount by Candidate	Amount Understated
			Total:	

CANDIDATE WISE SCRUTINY REPORT OF THE DEO ON LODGING OF ELECTION EXPENSES UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES,1961 TO THE HOUSE OF THE PEOPLE OR STATE LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY

STATE: Tamil Nadu	CANDIDATE NAME: VENKATESH.A
DISTRICT: 29 TIRUNELVELI	PARTY: Independent
CONSTITUENCY: 38 Tirunelveli	DATE OF LODGING 15/06/2014

Did the candidate produce his register of Election Expenditure for inspection by the observer/RO (Yes/No) 3 times during campaign period?	Yes
Was any discrepancy pointed out to the candidate at the time of inspection of register by the Observer. If yes, mention the discrepancy?	No
Was a notice pertaining to any discrepancy in expenditure even to the candidate by RO? please mention date and nature of the discrepancy.	No
Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?(please Annex copy of the notice and explanation received)	No
Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate (Yes/No)	Yes
Was there any incident of distribution of money, food or other items in the constituency by the candidate or his agents or his party functionaries or any other person related to the candidate? please mention date and name	No
Grand Total of all election expenses by the candidate as mentioned in part-II of the abstract statement, filed by the candidate.	Rs 176,809

Defects noticed in the format by the DEO

DEFECT

Details where expenditure has been understated/not mentioned at all by the candidate

Items of Expenditure	Details	Amount Observed	Amount by Candidate	Amount Understated
			Total:	

Fund details

Source of fund: **OTHERS**

Sponsor	Mode	Amount
OTHERS	Cash	162,000
Total:		162,000

CANDIDATE WISE SCRUTINY REPORT OF THE DEO ON LODGING OF ELECTION EXPENSES UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES,1961 TO THE HOUSE OF THE PEOPLE OR STATE LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY

STATE: Tamil Nadu	CANDIDATE NAME: VEILUMUTHUKUMAR.P
DISTRICT: 29 TIRUNELVELI	PARTY: Independent
CONSTITUENCY: 38 Tirunelveli	DATE OF LODGING 15/06/2014

Did the candidate produce his register of Election Expenditure for inspection by the observer/RO (Yes/No) 3 times during campaign period?	Yes
Was any discrepancy pointed out to the candidate at the time of inspection of register by the Observer. If yes, mention the discrepancy?	No
Was a notice pertaining to any discrepancy in expenditure even to the candidate by RO? please mention date and nature of the discrepancy.	No
Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?(please Annex copy of the notice and explanation received)	No
Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate (Yes/No)	Yes
Was there any incident of distribution of money, food or other items in the constituency by the candidate or his agents or his party functionaries or any other person related to the candidate? please mention date and name	No
Grand Total of all election expenses by the candidate as mentioned in part-II of the abstract statement, filed by the candidate.	Rs 31,000

Defects noticed in the format by the DEO

DEFECT

Details where expenditure has been understated/not mentioned at all by the candidate

Items of Expenditure	Details	Amount Observed	Amount by Candidate	Amount Understated
			Total:	

CANDIDATE WISE SCRUTINY REPORT OF THE DEO ON LODGING OF ELECTION EXPENSES UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES,1961 TO THE HOUSE OF THE PEOPLE OR STATE LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY

STATE: Tamil Nadu	CANDIDATE NAME: GERMANUS.S
DISTRICT: 29 TIRUNELVELI	PARTY: Independent
CONSTITUENCY: 38 Tirunelveli	DATE OF LODGING 15/06/2014

Did the candidate produce his register of Election Expenditure for inspection by the observer/RO (Yes/No) 3 times during campaign period?	Yes
Was any discrepancy pointed out to the candidate at the time of inspection of register by the Observer. If yes, mention the discrepancy?	No
Was a notice pertaining to any discrepancy in expenditure even to the candidate by RO? please mention date and nature of the discrepancy.	No
Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?(please Annex copy of the notice and explanation received)	No
Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate (Yes/No)	Yes
Was there any incident of distribution of money, food or other items in the constituency by the candidate or his agents or his party functionaries or any other person related to the candidate? please mention date and name	No
Grand Total of all election expenses by the candidate as mentioned in part-II of the abstract statement, filed by the candidate.	Rs 51,890

Defects noticed in the format by the DEO

DEFECT

Details where expenditure has been understated/not mentioned at all by the candidate

Items of Expenditure	Details	Amount Observed	Amount by Candidate	Amount Understated
			Total:	

Fund details

Source of fund: **OTHERS**

Sponsor	Mode	Amount
OTHERS	Cash	49,001
Total:		49,001