

आदेश की क्रम संख्या और तारीख	आदेश और पदाधिकारी का हस्ताक्षर	आदेश पर की गई कारवाई के बारे में टिप्पणी तारीख के साथ								
25-10-2018	<p style="text-align: center;">In The Court Of: Land Reforms Deputy Collector, Arwal. <u>Case No. 74/ 2016-17</u> Chandrika Verma - applicant Vrs. Lal Mohan Singh & Others– opposite parties Present- Rakesh Kumar, DCLR <u>Learned Advocate of applicant</u> 1. Sri . Satish Chandra Jyoti.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>ORDER</u></p> <p>The present case is related to</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Schedule</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="309 792 1294 1137"> <thead> <tr> <th>Khata</th> <th>Plot</th> <th>Rakwa A-D</th> <th>Boundary</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>34</td> <td>1128</td> <td>44 desimal me se Lagbhag 02 desimals Janib dakshin Taraf Vivad.</td> <td>N-Applicant. S-OP. E-Ram Swaroop Yadav. W-Ram Deo Yadav.</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>Mauza–Chiraiya Taar, Tola-Rozapar, PS+ Anchal+ Dist-Arwal. Learned advocate of applicant submitted that land mentioned in schedule is a khatiyani land of applicant. He informed that rent receipts are being issued in name of his uncle namely Chauki Mahto alias Devaki Mahto. However, he alleged that opposite parties namely Lal Mohan Singh (op-01) & Shiv Mohan Singh (op-02) both are S/O Late Bhola Singh are aggressive in nature and they have illegally dispossessed the applicant approximately by an area of 02 desimals as mentioned in schedule. So learned advocate pleaded for measurement & demarcation of his land and if dispossession is found then it should be restored to applicant.</p> <p>The opposite parties didn't turn in the court</p>	Khata	Plot	Rakwa A-D	Boundary	34	1128	44 desimal me se Lagbhag 02 desimals Janib dakshin Taraf Vivad.	N-Applicant. S-OP. E-Ram Swaroop Yadav. W-Ram Deo Yadav.	
Khata	Plot	Rakwa A-D	Boundary							
34	1128	44 desimal me se Lagbhag 02 desimals Janib dakshin Taraf Vivad.	N-Applicant. S-OP. E-Ram Swaroop Yadav. W-Ram Deo Yadav.							

Dev

inspite of sending notices through process peon as well as speed post. So Arwal Anchal Amin was directed to measure the disputed land and accordingly report dated 12.07.2018 was received by this court.

So as per measurement report, plot No-1128 was measured into two parts-

$$(A) \frac{240 \text{ kurry (N)} + 200 \text{ kurry (S)}}{2} \times \frac{150 \text{ kurry (W)} + 40 \text{ kurry (E)}}{2}$$

$$= 220 \text{ kurry} \times 95 \text{ kurry} = 20900 \text{ square kurry.}$$

$$(B) \frac{260 \text{ kurry (E)} + 265 \text{ kurry (W)}}{2} \times \frac{105 \text{ kurry (S)} + 100 \text{ kurry (N)}}{2}$$

$$= 262.5 \text{ kurry} \times 102.5 = 26906.25 \text{ sq kurry.}$$

$$A+B = 20900 \text{ sq kurry} + 26906.25 \text{ sq kurry} = 47806.25 \text{ sq kurry} = 47.806 \text{ decimals.}$$

Further, in plot No-1126 of applicant's land, its Southern portion has been dispossessed by op as raiyat of plot No-1029. Further, in disputed plot No- 1128, an area of 1260 square kurry (105 L X 12 B) i.e. rakwa of $1\frac{1}{4}$ decimals has been dispossessed by op.

So after receiving the report also, op didn't turn up in court, infact op's were absent on spot also. So it seems that op's doesn't have any objection to report. So this court approves the measurement report and directs both the parties to abide it. Further, measurement report along with sketch map & other documents attached to it will be part of order.

Dictated and corrected

Arwal
25/7/18

Land Reforms Deputy Collector

Arwal

Arwal
25/7/18

Land Reforms Deputy Collector

Arwal.