

आदेश की क्रम संख्या और तारीख	आदेश और पदाधिकारी का हस्ताक्षर	आदेश पर की गई कारवाई के बारे में दिखाने वाली तारीख के साथ								
22-05-2018	<p style="text-align: center;">In The Court Of: Land Reforms Deputy Collector, Arwal. Case No. 31/ 2016-2017 Sudarshan Prasad & others- applicant Vrs. Ram Vijay Singh & others- opposite parties Present- Rakesh Kumar, DCLR <u>Learned Advocate of applicant</u> 1. Sri. Ram Uday Upadhyay. 2. Sri. Satish Chandra Jyoti. 3. Sri. Abhishek Kumar. <u>Learned Advocate of opposite party.</u> 1. Sri. Lallan Kumar.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">ORDER</p> <p>Both the parties appeared in this case and present case is related to</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Schedule</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="379 1115 1364 1400"> <thead> <tr> <th>Khata</th> <th>Plot</th> <th>Rakwa A-D</th> <th>Boundary</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>118</td> <td>520</td> <td>0-04</td> <td>N-Nageshar Pandit. S-Moin Miyan. E-Ayodhya Sharma. W-Gali (Rasta)</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>Mauza-Simuara, PS+ Anchal-Kurtha, Dist- Arwal.</p> <p>Learned advocate of applicant submitted that land mentioned in schedule is purchased land of applicant's father namely Shivpujan Prasad. He informed that vendor of Shivpujan Prasad was indumati Kunwar who sold him on 18.08.2015 and this vendor had purchased the said land on 04.01.1968. Learned advocate stated that applicant is in possession of said land since the day of purchase, however he alleged that opposite parties namely Ranvijay Singh (op-01) Kunwar</p>	Khata	Plot	Rakwa A-D	Boundary	118	520	0-04	N-Nageshar Pandit. S-Moin Miyan. E-Ayodhya Sharma. W-Gali (Rasta)	
Khata	Plot	Rakwa A-D	Boundary							
118	520	0-04	N-Nageshar Pandit. S-Moin Miyan. E-Ayodhya Sharma. W-Gali (Rasta)							

Ben

Vijay Singh (op-02) both S/o Late Rajeshwar Singh, Bimlesh Singh S/o Ranvijay Singh (op-03) and Ayodhya Sharma S/o Late Yugesh Singh (op-04) has broken the western boundary and has also dispossessed the applicant from a portion of his purchased land. So learned advocate pleaded for demarcation of his land through measurement and also wanted restoration of his disposed land.

Learned advocate of opposite parties appeared in this case but didn't file any written statement. Further, op continuously remained absent, so on request of applicant, learned survey knowing advocate commissioner Sri Ram Govind Singh was directed to measure & demarcate the disputed land. However, he submitted report to this office on 16.01.2018. So after receiving this report, applicant wants approval of report. However, even after giving sufficient opportunity, the opposite parties didn't turn up in court to express their view regarding measurement report.

So after looking the measurement report, it is found that applicant is in possession of 03.04 desimal of land though he has purchased 04 desimals of land but from report it is found remaining area has not been clearly mentioned by learned survey knowing advocate commissioner. So till that area is clear, applicant will have possession over the area of 03.04 desimals only.

Dictated and corrected

Land Reforms Deputy Collector

Arwal

Land Reforms Deputy Collector

Arwal.