

आदेश की क्रम संख्या और तारीख	आदेश और पदाधिकारी का हस्ताक्षर	आदेश पर की गई कारवाई के बारे में टिप्पणी तारीख के साथ																
25-07-2017	<p style="text-align: center;"><b>In The Court Of: Land Reforms Deputy Collector, Arwal.</b>  <b><u>Case No. 168/ 2014-15</u></b>            Sugapati Devi- applicant            Vrs.            Sanjay Yadav &amp; others– opposite parties            Present- Rakesh Kumar,DCLR  <u>Learned Advocate of applicant</u>            1. Sri. Anil Sharma.  <u>Learned Advocate of opposite parties</u>            1. Md. Afsar Hamid.            2. Sri. Saroj Kumar Jha.            3. Sri. Gauri Shanker Mishra.            4. Sri. Akhilesh Singh.            5. Md. Sohail Akhtar.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>ORDER</u></b></p> <p>Both the parties appeared at the time of hearing. The present case is related to</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>Schedule-01</b></p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Khata</th> <th>Plot No.</th> <th>Rakwa A- D</th> <th>Boundary</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>165</td> <td>840</td> <td>0-10</td> <td>N- Niz. S- op 01 &amp; op 02 E-Niz. W-Amun Miyan.</td> </tr> <tr> <td>165</td> <td>842</td> <td>0-12 (02 desimal janib uttar Taraf se)</td> <td>N-Lallan Singh. S-Haza plot. E-Niz. W-Mahendra Yadav.</td> </tr> <tr> <td>165</td> <td>843</td> <td>0-14 (02 desimal janib uttar Taraf se)</td> <td>N-Lallan Singh. S-Haza plot. E-Rajendra Yadav. W-Mahendra Yadav.</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>Mauza–Selarpur, Anchal+P.S.-Karpi, Dist-Arwal.            Learned advocate of applicant submitted that</p>	Khata	Plot No.	Rakwa A- D	Boundary	165	840	0-10	N- Niz. S- op 01 & op 02 E-Niz. W-Amun Miyan.	165	842	0-12 (02 desimal janib uttar Taraf se)	N-Lallan Singh. S-Haza plot. E-Niz. W-Mahendra Yadav.	165	843	0-14 (02 desimal janib uttar Taraf se)	N-Lallan Singh. S-Haza plot. E-Rajendra Yadav. W-Mahendra Yadav.	
Khata	Plot No.	Rakwa A- D	Boundary															
165	840	0-10	N- Niz. S- op 01 & op 02 E-Niz. W-Amun Miyan.															
165	842	0-12 (02 desimal janib uttar Taraf se)	N-Lallan Singh. S-Haza plot. E-Niz. W-Mahendra Yadav.															
165	843	0-14 (02 desimal janib uttar Taraf se)	N-Lallan Singh. S-Haza plot. E-Rajendra Yadav. W-Mahendra Yadav.															

*Arwal*

land mentioned in schedule is of applicant, so he had asked for measurement so as per demand of applicant then DCLR, Arwal had directed learned advocate commissioner, Sri. P.C. Maharaj to measure & demarcate the disputed land and he submitted the report on 06.01.2016.

The learned advocate of opposite parties had submitted that at spot there is no boundary dispute and said land is in possession of op, since last 50 yrs. Learned advocate vehemently opposed the measurement report and as per his version, original map of village-Selarpur is not available in record of Dist-Arwal. Learned advocate alleged that measurement has been done on basis of photostat map which can not be validated.


So in this situation learned advocate commissioner, Sri P.C. Maharaj was given many letters to clarify about the map but he didn't reply. The learned advocate of applicant had brought a map in court but learned advocate of op, doubted it. So Ravi Kumar Sinha, Anchal Amin Arwal who was on deputation to Anchal, Karpi, for a week, he was asked to report about the


*Bele*

map of village-Selarpur. This Amin reported that villagers of selarpur are not settled as per Revenue survey map 1912-1913. However, in 1939 special magistrate Gaya Sri. R.P. Singh had attested a photo copy of map of that village and accordingly mentioned plot in schedule are existing at spot. The Amin Ravi Kumar Sinha also writes that survey plot No-840, 842 & 843 are not clear on Photostat map, so until original map is available, accuracy of measurement can not be found nor proper demarcation can be done.

Thus, based on above circumstances, this court can not grant any relief to applicant based on report of survey knowing Advocate commissioner as he never replied to show cause asked by this court regarding originality of map of said village. So claim of applicant is rejected and case is dismissed.

Dictated and corrected

  
Land Reforms Deputy Collector  
Arwal

  
Land Reforms Deputy Collector  
Arwal.

