

आदेश की क्रम
संख्या और तारीख

आदेश और पदाधिकारी का हस्ताक्षर

आदेश पर की गई
कारवाई के बारे में
टिप्पणी तारीख के
साथ

In The Court Of: Land Reforms Deputy Collector, Arwal.

Case No. 47/ 2016-17

Kameshwar Singh- applicant

Vrs.

Laxmi Narain Singh & others- opposite parties

Present- Rakesh Kumar, DCLR

Learned Advocate of applicant

1. Sri. Anil Sharma.

Learned Advocate of opposite party.

1. Sri. Dilip Kumar Singh.

2. Md. Anwar Hussain.

ORDER

Both the parties appeared and present case is related to

Schedule

Khata	Plot	Area A- D	Boundary
45	79	0-25 (Janib uttar Taraf se $8\frac{1}{3}$ desimals)	N-Gopal Singh. S-Haza plot op. E- Parti Kadeem Bihar Sarkar. W-Rameshwar Singh.

Mauza-Inzaur, PS-Mehanediya, Anchal-Kaler, Dist-Arwal.

Learned advocate of applicant submitted that Laxmi narain Singh s/o Late Mutuk Singh (op-01) & Mukesh Kumar alias Lal Babu s/o Late Sharda Nand Singh (op-02) are opposite parties and said that applicant & father of op-02 had purchased the land mentioned in schedule through kewala dated 23.06.1954. However, applicant had received share of

29-06-2017

Beu

1/3 rd of disputed land i.e. $8\frac{1}{3}$ decimals from Northern Side, over which he had peaceful possession and accordingly demand was created in his name. Learned advocate said that applicant is retired teacher who lives with family at village- Hazpura and his sons are self employed. However, he received an information that opposite parties has broken the ridge of his share of land then he visited the spot and found that opposite parties has divided the land into two parts by ignoring the share of applicants and infact, they have started cultivating it. Learned advocate said that when applicant tried to persuade opposite parties then they became aggressive, so for a solution, he has filed the case in this court. So he pleaded for measurement demarcation of his share of land by any advocate Commissioner or any Govt Amin and restoration of his dispossessed land.


The learned advocate of opposite parties submitted that applicant is fraud person and he has many names such as Kameshwar Kumar Kamesh @ Kameshwar Singh @ Kameshwar Prasad Singh. He


Bell

informed that both the parties are in possession of their share of land as per order of sub-judge-02, Gaya in partition suit No-52/1958 and land mentioned in schedule of this case is also part of mentioned partition suit. So applicant has fraudly filed the case in this court which is a criminal Act. So learned advocate pleaded for rejection of claim & dismissal of the case.

Heard the learned advocates and perused the documents available on record. Applicant has submitted revenue receipts & kewala where as opposite parties has not submitted any document. After looking the argument, it seems that applicant has not produced sufficient evidence to prove his point. So this court can not grant any relief to applicant, so his claim is rejected and case is dismissed.

Dictated and corrected


Land Reforms Deputy Collector
Arwal


Land Reforms Deputy Collector
Arwal.

