

| आदेश की क्रम संख्या और तारीख | आदेश और पदाधिकारी का हस्ताक्षर | आदेश पर की गई कारवाई के बारे में टिप्पणी तारीख के साथ | | | | | | | | |
|------------------------------|--|---|---|---------------|----------|----|-----|------|---|--|
| 29-12-2016 | <p style="text-align: center;">In The Court Of: Land Reforms Deputy Collector, Arwal. Case No. 47/ 2015-16 Dharmendra Kumar- applicant Vrs. Chote Narain & others- opposite parties Present- Rakesh Kumar, DCLR <u>Learned Advocate of applicant</u> 1. Sri Vinod Kumar. 2. Sri Ram Vinay Ram. <u>Learned Advocate of opposite parties</u> 1. Md. Jawed Khan.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">ORDER</p> <p>Both the parties appeared and the case is related to Schedule</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="288 987 1302 1335"> <thead> <tr> <th>Khata</th> <th>Plot No.</th> <th>Rakwa A- D</th> <th>Boundary</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>20</td> <td>131</td> <td>0-35</td> <td>N-Sarak, chart, plot No-121. S- Jalpura siwan. E-Naresh Yadav. W- Vijay Yadav.</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>Mauza- Safawad, Anchal+Dist-Arwal</p> <p>The learned advocate of applicant submitted that land mentioned in schedule is khatiyani land of applicant and in that land there are two equal share holders namely Vinod kumar, Advocate of the case & Dev Mundri Devi w/o Krishna kumar singh and they are in possession of mentioned land as per their share at spot. Learned advocate further submitted that applicant had received the said land as "Bakshish" from her maternal grand mother namely Shanichari Devi, infact</p> | Khata | Plot No. | Rakwa A- D | Boundary | 20 | 131 | 0-35 | N-Sarak, chart, plot No-121. S- Jalpura siwan. E-Naresh Yadav. W- Vijay Yadav. | |
| Khata | Plot No. | Rakwa A- D | Boundary | | | | | | | |
| 20 | 131 | 0-35 | N-Sarak, chart, plot No-121. S- Jalpura siwan. E-Naresh Yadav. W- Vijay Yadav. | | | | | | | |

(Signature)

he had donated the land to applicant's mother namely Samudri Devi and her sister Dev Mundri Devi. Learned advocate stated that in northern boundary of the said land, there is plot No-121 which is being claimed by opposite parties namely Chote Narain (op-01) & Ram Avatar Yadav (op-02) both s/o Late Sohrai Yadav on basis of their kewala. However, learned advocate alleged that op is trying to dispossess the applicant's in their plot No-123, so applicant tried to put ridge in between the said plots but in vein, so learned advocate pleaded for measurement & demarcation of land of applicant and wants restoration of dispossessed land. He also pleaded for putting pillars on the ridge, so that in future no trouble occurs at sport.

The learned advocate of opposite parties submitted that in khata-20 plot No-121 & 107 area $12\frac{1}{2}$ desimals is purchased land of op through kewala dated 04-07-1974. So applicant can not claim land of op but applicant is free to measure and demarcate the land mentioned in schedule.

So after hearing both the parties then DCLR, Arwal had directed to circle officer Arwal to measure and demarcate the land of applicant. The learned circle officer Arwal has submitted the report to this court through letter No-1157 dated 21.10.2016. After receiving the report it was found that opposite parties has dispossessed the applicants from an area of 2100 sq kurry i.e. 02.100 desimals to wards southern side of plot No-121 and it has been demarcated by Anchal Amin. Further, op was given opportunity in court to file objection, if any in relation to measurement report but

APL

they didn't turn up in court. So this court approves the measurement report submitted by circle officer Arwal and directs the opposite parties to restored the dispossessed areas of land to applicants. Further, measurement report, & sketch map attached with it will be part of order.

Dictated and corrected

Arwal
29/12/16

Land Reforms Deputy Collector
Arwal

Arwal
29/12/16

Land Reforms Deputy Collector
Arwal.

