

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO : 19


Name of the State : KARNATAKA

District: MYSORE


Election: GENERAL ELECTION TO THE LOK SABHA -21-MYSORE PARLIAMENTARY CONSTITUENCY -2019

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961		To be filled up by the DEO
S.No.	Description	
1.	Name & address of the candidate	<b>SREENIVASIAH</b> # 7, 3 <sup>RD</sup> CROSS, KRISHNARAJA VANAM, CHAMARAJA MOHALLA, MYSORE- 570 008
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	<b>INDEPENDENT</b>
3.	No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	21- MYSORE
4.	Name of the elected candidate	<b>PRATHAP SIMHA</b>
5.	Date of declaration of result	23-05-2019
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	18-06-2019
7.	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	(i) Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	(ii) Yes
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes
9.	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	22-06-2019
10.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11.	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: I. original account II. revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	18-06-2019 Not Applicable
12.	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12 A.	If not lodged in time, period of delay	Not applicable
13.	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	No
14.	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	Not Applicable
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	Not Applicable

15.	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement				Rs. 2,09,800.00		
16.	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961				Yes		
17.	If No, then please mention the following defects with details				Not Applicable		
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged.				Yes		
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate .				Yes		
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted .				Yes		
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election				Yes		
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account				Yes		
18.	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect				Yes		
	(ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect				Yes		
	(iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.				Yes		
19.	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:				Yes		
	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate	
	I	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	
	Ii, iii	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	

20	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period	Yes
21.	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-	Not Applicable
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting	Not Applicable
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice	Not Applicable
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice ?	Not Applicable
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	Not Applicable
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	—
22.	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)  Date: 18/6/19	Yes   Signature (Name of the DEO)

23. Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer\*:-

  
Signature of the Expenditure Observer

Date 18-6-19

\* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.  
\*\* The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.